

FURI-PERA-TYPE THEOREMS FOR THE \mathcal{W}_c^k -ADMISSIBLE MAPS
OF PARK

By DONAL O'REGAN*

Department of Mathematics, National University of Ireland, Galway

[Received 22 November 2000. Read 25 June 2001. Published 31 December 2002.]

ABSTRACT

New fixed-point theorems are presented for \mathcal{W}_c^k -admissible and PK -admissible maps. The arguments rely on fixed-point theory for self-maps, together with properties of the Minkowski functional and retractions.

1. Introduction

This paper presents new fixed-point results of Furi–Pera type [1; 2] for the \mathcal{W}_c^k -admissible maps of Park [4; 5]. In the process we establish new Leray–Schauder alternatives for \mathcal{W}_c^k -admissible maps defined on closed convex subsets of locally convex Hausdorff topological vector spaces. Also we present new fixed-point results for set-valued maps with continuous selections (i.e. the PK -admissible maps).

For the remainder of this section we present some definitions and known results [3; 4; 5]. Let X and Y be Hausdorff topological vector spaces. Recall that a *polytope* P in X is any convex hull of a non-empty finite subset of X . Given a class \mathcal{X} of maps, $\mathcal{X}(X, Y)$ denotes the set of maps $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ (the non-empty subsets of Y) belonging to \mathcal{X} , and \mathcal{X}_c the set of finite compositions of maps in \mathcal{X} . A class \mathcal{U} of maps [4; 5] is defined by the following properties:

- (i) \mathcal{U} contains the class \mathcal{C} of single-valued continuous functions;
- (ii) each $F \in \mathcal{U}_c$ is upper semicontinuous and compact-valued; and
- (iii) for any polytope P , $F \in \mathcal{U}_c(P, P)$ has a fixed point, where the intermediate spaces of composites are suitably chosen for each \mathcal{U} .

Definition 1.1. $F \in \mathcal{W}_c^k(X, Y)$ (i.e. F is \mathcal{W}_c^k -admissible) if for any compact subset K of X there is a $G \in \mathcal{U}_c(K, Y)$ with $G(x) \subseteq F(x)$ for each $x \in K$.

Recall from [5] that \mathcal{W}_c^k is closed under compositions.

Next let Z and W be subsets of Hausdorff topological vector spaces X and Y , and F be a multifunction. We say that $F \in PK(Z, W)$ (i.e. F is PK -admissible) if W is convex and there exists a map $S : Z \rightarrow W$ with

$$Z = \cup\{int S^{-1}(w) : w \in W\}, \quad co(S(x)) \subseteq F(x) \text{ for } x \in Z,$$

and $S(x) \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in Z$; here $S^{-1}(w) = \{z : w \in S(z)\}$. Finally we recall the following well-known selection theorem.

*E-mail: Donal.OREgan@nuigalway.ie

Theorem 1.1. *If Z is paracompact, W is convex and $F \in PK(Z, W)$, then there exists a continuous (single-valued) function $f : Z \rightarrow W$ with $f(x) \in F(x)$ for each $x \in Z$.*

2. Fixed-point results for \mathcal{U}_c^k -admissible maps

In this section we present some Leray–Schauder-type [2] alternatives and two fixed-point results of Furi–Pera type [1; 2] for \mathcal{U}_c^k -admissible maps. Our theorems are based on the following three fixed-point results of O’Regan [3].

Theorem 2.1. *Let Ω be a closed convex subset of a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space E with $x_0 \in \Omega$. Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{U}_c^k(\Omega, \Omega)$ with the following property holding:*

$$A \subseteq \Omega, A \subseteq \overline{co}(\{x_0\} \cup F(A)) \text{ implies that } \overline{A} \text{ is compact.} \quad (2.1)$$

Then F has a fixed point in Ω .

Theorem 2.2. *Let Ω be a closed convex subset of a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space E and $x_0 \in \Omega$. Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{U}_c^k(\Omega, \Omega)$ satisfies the following properties:*

$$A \subseteq \Omega, A \subseteq co(\{x_0\} \cup F(A)) \text{ implies that } \overline{A} \text{ is compact} \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$F(\overline{A}) \subseteq \overline{F(A)} \text{ for any relatively compact subset } A \text{ of } \Omega. \quad (2.3)$$

Then F has a fixed point in Ω .

Theorem 2.3. *Let Ω be a closed convex subset of a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space E and $x_0 \in \Omega$. Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{U}_c^k(\Omega, \Omega)$ maps compact sets into relatively compact sets and satisfies (2.3) and suppose that the following properties hold:*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A \subseteq \Omega, A \subseteq co(\{x_0\} \cup F(A)), \text{ with } \overline{A} = \overline{C} \\ \text{and } C \subseteq A \text{ countable, implies that } \overline{A} \text{ is compact;} \end{array} \right. \quad (2.4)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any relatively compact subset } A \text{ of } \Omega \text{ there} \\ \text{exists a countable set } B \subseteq A \text{ with } \overline{B} = \overline{A} \end{array} \right. \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\text{if } A \text{ is a compact subset of } \Omega, \text{ then } \overline{co}(A) \text{ is compact.} \quad (2.6)$$

Then F has a fixed point in Ω .

Remark 2.1. If E is metrisable then (2.5) holds, and if E is quasi-complete then (2.6) holds.

Remark 2.2. In some cases (see [3]) it is possible to drop assumption (2.3) in Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3. (We will discuss this later in this paper; see Theorems 2.7–2.10.)

Our first result is a non-linear alternative of Leray–Schauder type for \mathcal{W}_c^k -admissible maps that satisfy a condition of (2.1) type.

Theorem 2.4. *Let E be a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space, C a closed convex subset of E , $U \subset C$ an open convex subset of E , and $0 \in U$. Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{W}_c^k(\bar{U}, C)$ satisfies the following two properties:*

$$A \subseteq \bar{U}, A \subseteq \overline{co}(\{0\} \cup F(A)) \text{ implies that } \bar{A} \text{ is compact} \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$x \notin \lambda F(x) \text{ for } x \in \partial U \text{ and } \lambda \in (0, 1). \quad (2.8)$$

Then F has a fixed point in \bar{U} .

PROOF. Let μ be the Minkowski functional on \bar{U} and let $r: E \rightarrow \bar{U}$ be given by

$$r(x) = \frac{x}{\max\{1, \mu(x)\}} \quad \text{for } x \in E. \quad (2.9)$$

Let $G = rF$, and notice that $G: \bar{U} \rightarrow \bar{U}$. In addition since $r \in \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_c^k$ we have that $G \in \mathcal{W}_c^k(\bar{U}, \bar{U})$. Next we claim that

$$\text{if } A \subseteq \bar{U} \text{ and } A \subseteq \overline{co}(\{0\} \cup G(A)) \text{ then } \bar{A} \text{ is compact.} \quad (2.10)$$

To see this notice that, if $A \subseteq \bar{U}$ and $A \subseteq \overline{co}(\{0\} \cup rF(A))$ — note that (2.9) implies that $r(B) \subseteq co(B \cup \{0\})$ for any subset B of E — then

$$A \subseteq \overline{co}(\{0\} \cup co(F(A) \cup \{0\})) = \overline{co}(co(F(A) \cup \{0\})) = \overline{co}(F(A) \cup \{0\}).$$

Now (2.7) implies that \bar{A} is compact, so (2.10) holds. Apply Theorem 2.1, and we deduce that there exists $x \in \bar{U}$ with $x \in G(x) = rF(x)$. Thus $x = r(y)$ for some $y \in F(x)$. Now, either $y \in \bar{U}$ or $y \notin \bar{U}$. If $y \in \bar{U}$ then $r(y) = y$, so $x = y \in F(x)$ and we are finished. If $y \notin \bar{U}$ then $r(y) = \frac{y}{\mu(y)}$ with $\mu(y) > 1$. Then $x = \lambda y$ (i.e. $x \in \lambda F(x)$) with $0 < \lambda = \frac{1}{\mu(y)} < 1$. This contradicts (2.8). ■

Theorem 2.5. *Let E be a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space, C a closed convex subset of E , $U \subset C$ an open convex subset of E , and $0 \in U$. Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{W}_c^k(\bar{U}, C)$ satisfies (2.8) and the following two properties:*

$$A \subseteq \bar{U}, A \subseteq co(\{0\} \cup F(A)) \text{ implies that } \bar{A} \text{ is compact} \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$F(\bar{A}) \subseteq \overline{F(A)} \text{ for any relatively compact subset } A \text{ of } \bar{U}. \quad (2.12)$$

Then F has a fixed point in \bar{U} .

PROOF. Let μ, r, G be as in Theorem 2.4. Essentially the same reasoning as in Theorem 2.4 guarantees that $G \in \mathcal{W}_c^k(\bar{U}, \bar{U})$, and,

$$\text{if } A \subseteq \bar{U} \text{ and } A \subseteq \text{co}(\{0\} \cup G(A)), \text{ then } \bar{A} \text{ is compact.} \tag{2.13}$$

Next we claim that

$$G(\bar{A}) \subseteq \overline{G(A)} \text{ for any relatively compact subset } A \text{ of } \bar{U}. \tag{2.14}$$

This is immediate since F satisfies (2.12) and r is continuous, i.e. if A is a relatively compact subset of \bar{U} then

$$G(\bar{A}) = r(F(\bar{A})) \subseteq r(\overline{F(A)}) \subseteq \overline{r(F(A))} = \overline{G(A)}.$$

Apply Theorem 2.2, and we deduce that there exists $x \in \bar{U}$ with $x \in G(x) = rF(x)$. Thus $x = r(y)$ for some $y \in F(x)$, and, as in Theorem 2.4, we have $y \in \bar{U}$. As a result we have $x = r(y) = y \in F(x)$. ■

Essentially the same reasoning as in Theorem 2.5 (except that here we use Theorem 2.3) establishes the following result.

Theorem 2.6. *Let E be a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space, C a closed convex subset of E , $U^c C$ an open convex subset of E , and $0 \in U$. Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{W}_c^k(\bar{U}, C)$ maps compact sets into relatively compact sets. Assume that (2.8) and (2.12) are satisfied and suppose that the following properties hold:*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A \subseteq \bar{U}, A \subseteq \text{co}(\{x_0\} \cup F(A)), \text{ with } \bar{A} = \bar{C} \\ \text{and } C \subseteq A \text{ countable, implies that } \bar{A} \text{ is compact;} \end{array} \right. \tag{2.15}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any relatively compact subset } A \text{ of } \bar{U} \text{ there} \\ \text{exists a countable set } B \subseteq A \text{ with } \bar{B} = \bar{A} \end{array} \right. \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$\text{if } A \text{ is a compact subset of } \bar{U} \text{ then } \overline{\text{co}}(A) \text{ is compact.} \tag{2.17}$$

Then F has a fixed point in \bar{U} .

We can remove condition (2.12) for certain subclasses of $\mathcal{W}_c^k(\bar{U}, C)$. Given a class \mathcal{A} of maps (with $\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{A}$), we let $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ denote the set of maps $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ belonging to \mathcal{A} , and \mathcal{A}_c the set of finite compositions of maps in \mathcal{A} . We say that $F \in \mathcal{A}_c^k(X, Y)$ if $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is such that for any compact convex subset K of X there exists a closed map $G \in \mathcal{A}_c(K, Y)$ with $G(x) \subseteq F(x)$ for each $x \in K$. We also assume throughout that \mathcal{A}_c^k is closed under compositions.

In [3] the following fixed-point result was established.

Theorem 2.7. *Let Ω be a closed convex subset of a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space E with $x_0 \in \Omega$. Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{A}_c^k(\Omega, \Omega)$ satisfies (2.2) and the*

following condition:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any relatively compact convex subset } A \text{ of } \Omega \text{ and any} \\ \text{closed map } G \in \mathcal{A}_c(\bar{A}, \Omega) \text{ with } G(x) \subseteq F(x) \text{ for } x \in \bar{A}, \\ G^* \in \mathcal{U}_c^k(\bar{A}, \bar{A}) \text{ if } G^*(x) \neq \emptyset \text{ for } x \in \bar{A}; \\ \text{here } G^*(x) = G(x) \cap \bar{A} \text{ for } x \in \bar{A}. \end{array} \right. \quad (2.18)$$

Then F has a fixed point in Ω .

Remark 2.3. If, for example, $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ denotes the class of upper semicontinuous maps $H : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ with non-empty compact convex values, then clearly (2.18) is satisfied. In fact in this case $G^* \in \mathcal{A}_c^k(\bar{A}, \bar{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{U}_c^k(\bar{A}, \bar{A})$.

Next we obtain a Leray–Schauder alternative for the subclass \mathcal{A} . (We will state and prove the result with Fr here. The result with rF we will leave to the reader.)

Theorem 2.8. *Let E be a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space, C a closed convex subset of E , $U \subset C$ an open convex subset of E , and $0 \in U$. Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{A}_c^k(\bar{U}, C)$ satisfies (2.8) and the following conditions:*

$$A \subseteq C, A \subseteq \text{co}(\{0\} \cup F(\text{co}(\{0\} \cup A) \cap \bar{U})) \text{ implies that } \bar{A} \text{ is compact} \quad (2.19)$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any relatively compact convex subset } A \text{ of } C \text{ and any} \\ \text{closed map } G \in \mathcal{A}_c(\bar{A}, C) \text{ with } G(x) \subseteq Fr(x) \text{ for } x \in \bar{A}, \\ G^* \in \mathcal{U}_c^k(\bar{A}, \bar{A}) \text{ if } G^*(x) \neq \emptyset \text{ for } x \in \bar{A}; \\ \text{here } G^*(x) = G(x) \cap \bar{A} \text{ for } x \in \bar{A}, \text{ and } r \\ \text{is defined in (2.9).} \end{array} \right. \quad (2.20)$$

Then F has a fixed point in \bar{U} .

PROOF. Let r be as in (2.9) and let $H = Fr$. Notice since \mathcal{A}_c^k is closed under compositions that $H \in \mathcal{A}_c^k(C, C)$. Next we claim that

$$\text{if } A \subseteq C \text{ and } A \subseteq \text{co}(\{0\} \cup H(A)) \text{ then } \bar{A} \text{ is compact.} \quad (2.21)$$

To see this, notice that if $A \subseteq C$ and $A \subseteq \text{co}(\{0\} \cup Fr(A))$ then, since $r(A) \subseteq \text{co}(A \cup \{0\})$, we have

$$A \subseteq \text{co}(\{0\} \cup F(\text{co}(\{0\} \cup A) \cap \bar{U})).$$

Now (2.19) implies that \bar{A} is compact, so (2.21) holds. Apply Theorem 2.7, and we deduce that there exists $x \in C$ with $x \in Fr(x)$. If we let $z = r(x) \in \bar{U}$, then $z \in rF(z)$. Thus $z = r(y)$ for some $y \in F(z)$. Now either $y \in \bar{U}$ or $y \notin \bar{U}$. If $y \in \bar{U}$ then $r(y) = y$, so $z = r(y) = y \in F(z)$, and we are finished. If $y \notin \bar{U}$ then $r(y) = \lambda y$ with $\lambda = \frac{1}{\mu(y)} \in (0, 1)$. Thus $z = r(y) = \lambda y \in \lambda F(z)$ with $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. This contradicts (2.8). ■

Similarly we can obtain the analogue of Theorem 2.6 for maps in the subclass \mathcal{A} . First we recall the following fixed-point result from [3].

Theorem 2.9. *Let Ω be a closed convex subset of a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space E with $x_0 \in \Omega$. Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{A}_c^k(\Omega, \Omega)$ maps compact sets into relatively compact sets and assume that (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) and (2.18) hold. Then F has a fixed point in Ω .*

Essentially the same reasoning as in Theorem 2.8 establishes the following result.

Theorem 2.10. *Let E be a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space, C a closed convex subset of E , $U \subset C$ an open convex subset of E , and $0 \in U$. Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{A}_c^k(\bar{U}, C)$ maps compact sets into relatively compact sets and assume that the following properties hold:*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A \subseteq C, A \subseteq \text{co}(\{x_0\} \cup F(\text{co}(\{0\} \cup A))), \text{ with } \bar{A} = \bar{Q} \\ \text{and } Q \subseteq A \text{ countable, implies that } \bar{A} \text{ is compact;} \end{array} \right. \quad (2.22)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any relatively compact subset } A \text{ of } C \text{ there} \\ \text{exists a countable set } B \subseteq A \text{ with } \bar{B} = \bar{A} \end{array} \right. \quad (2.23)$$

and

$$\text{if } A \text{ is a compact subset of } C \text{ then } \overline{\text{co}}(A) \text{ is compact.} \quad (2.24)$$

Finally assume that (2.8) and (2.20) hold. Then F has a fixed point in \bar{U} .

Our next result is a Furi–Pera-type fixed-point theorem for compact closed \mathcal{W}_c^k -admissible maps.

Theorem 2.11. *Let Q be a closed convex subset of a metrisable locally convex topological vector space E with $0 \in Q$. Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{W}_c^k(Q, E)$ is a closed compact map with the following condition satisfied:*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \{(x_j, \lambda_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty \text{ is a sequence in } \partial Q \times [0, 1] \text{ converging} \\ \text{to } (x, \lambda) \text{ with } x \in \lambda F(x) \text{ and } 0 \leq \lambda < 1, \text{ then} \\ \{\lambda_j F(x_j)\} \subseteq Q \text{ for } j \text{ sufficiently large.} \end{array} \right. \quad (2.25)$$

Then F has a fixed point in Q .

PROOF. Let $r: E \rightarrow Q$ be a continuous retraction (the existence of r follows from Dugundji's extension theorem).

Remark 2.4. If $0 \in \text{int } Q$ we may take

$$r(x) = \frac{x}{\max\{1, \mu(x)\}}, \quad x \in E,$$

where μ is the Minkowski functional on Q . Note that if $\text{int } Q = \emptyset$ then $\partial Q = Q$.

From Remark 2.4, we may choose (and we do so) the retraction r above so that $r(z) \in \partial Q$ if $z \in E \setminus Q$. Consider

$$B = \{x \in E : x \in Fr(x)\}.$$

Firstly, $B \neq \emptyset$. To see this, notice that $Fr \in \mathcal{W}_c^k(E, E)$ and also notice that Fr is a compact map (r is continuous and F is compact). Theorem 2.1 guarantees that Fr has a fixed point, and therefore $B \neq \emptyset$. In addition, since F is closed, we have that B is closed. In fact B is compact, since

$$B \subseteq Fr(B) \subseteq F(Q).$$

It remains to show that $B \cap Q \neq \emptyset$. To do this we argue by contradiction. Suppose that $B \cap Q = \emptyset$. Then, since B is compact and Q is closed, there exists a $\delta > 0$ with $dist(B, Q) > \delta$. Choose $m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ with $1 < \delta m$. Define

$$U_i = \left\{ x \in E : d(x, Q) < \frac{1}{i} \right\} \quad \text{for } i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\};$$

here d is the metric associated with E . Fix $i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}$. Since $dist(B, Q) > \delta$, we see that $B \cap \bar{U}_i = \emptyset$. In addition, U_i is open and convex, $0 \in U_i$ and $Fr \in \mathcal{W}_c^k(\bar{U}_i, E)$ is a compact map. Theorem 2.4 guarantees, since $B \cap \bar{U}_i = \emptyset$, that there exists

$$(y_i, \lambda_i) \in \partial U_i \times (0, 1) \quad \text{with } y_i \in \lambda_i Fr(y_i).$$

We can do this for each $i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}$. Thus we have

$$\{\lambda_i Fr(y_i)\} \not\subseteq Q \quad \text{for each } i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}. \quad (2.26)$$

We now look at

$$D = \{x \in E : x \in \lambda Fr(x) \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Notice that $D \neq \emptyset$ is closed and in fact compact (so sequentially compact), since $F \in \mathcal{W}_c^k(Q, E)$ is a compact map. This, together with

$$d(y_j, Q) = \frac{1}{j} \quad \text{and } |\lambda_j| \leq 1 \quad \text{for } j \in \{m, m+1, \dots\},$$

implies that we may assume without loss of generality that

$$\lambda_j \rightarrow \lambda^* \in [0, 1] \quad \text{and } y_j \rightarrow y^* \in \partial Q.$$

In addition we have $y_j \in \lambda_j Fr(y_j)$ with F closed, and so $y^* \in \lambda^* Fr(y^*)$. Note that $\lambda^* \neq 1$ since $B \cap Q = \emptyset$. Hence $0 \leq \lambda^* < 1$. However, (2.25), with

$$x_j = r(y_j) \in \partial Q \quad \text{and } x = y^* = r(y^*),$$

implies that $\{\lambda_j Fr(y_j)\} \subseteq Q$ for j sufficiently large. This contradicts (2.26). Thus $B \cap Q \neq \emptyset$, so there exists $x \in Q$ with $x \in Fr(x) = F(x)$. ■

We can improve Theorem 2.11 if E is a Hilbert space.

Theorem 2.12. *Let Q be a closed convex subset of a Hilbert space E with $0 \in Q$. Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{W}_c^k(Q, E)$ is a closed condensing map with $F(Q)$ a bounded subset of E , and assume that (2.25) holds. Then F has a fixed point in Q .*

PROOF. Define $r: E \rightarrow Q$ by $r(x) = P_Q(x)$, i.e. r is the nearest point projection. Note that r is non-expansive. Let

$$B = \{x \in E : x \in Fr(x)\}.$$

Now $Fr \in \mathcal{W}_c^k(E, E)$ is a condensing map with $Fr(E)$ a bounded subset of E . Theorem 2.1 guarantees that Fr has a fixed point, so $B \neq \emptyset$. Also B is closed and compact (if $\alpha(r(B)) \neq 0$ then

$$\alpha(B) \leq \alpha(Fr(B)) < \alpha(r(B)) \leq \alpha(B),$$

a contradiction, so we have $\alpha(r(B)) = 0$, and thus $\alpha(B) = 0$; here α is the Kuratowski measure of non-compactness). Suppose that $B \cap Q = \emptyset$. Let m, U_i be as in Theorem 2.11, and essentially the same reasoning as in Theorem 2.11 (here Fr is a condensing map) guarantees that

$$\{\lambda_i Fr(y_i)\} \not\subseteq Q \text{ for each } i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}. \quad (2.27)$$

Let D be as in Theorem 2.11, and notice that D is closed and compact since $D \subseteq \overline{co}(Fr(D) \cup \{0\})$. As a result we may assume without loss of generality that

$$\lambda_j \rightarrow \lambda^* \in [0, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad y_j \rightarrow y^* \in \partial Q.$$

This implies that $y^* \in \lambda^* Fr(y^*)$ with $0 \leq \lambda^* < 1$. This, together with (2.25), implies that $\{\lambda_j Fr(y_j)\} \subseteq Q$ for j sufficiently large. This contradicts (2.27), so $B \cap Q \neq \emptyset$. ■

3. Fixed-point results for PK -admissible maps

We begin this section by presenting a very general Leray–Schauder alternative for PK maps.

Theorem 3.1. *Let E be a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space, C a closed convex subset of E , $U \subset C$ an open convex subset of E , and $0 \in U$. Suppose that \overline{U} is paracompact and $F \in PK(\overline{U}, C)$ satisfies*

$$x \notin \lambda F(x) \text{ for } x \in \partial U \text{ and } \lambda \in (0, 1). \quad (3.1)$$

In addition assume that one of the following conditions holds:

$$A \subseteq \overline{U}, \quad A \subseteq \overline{co}(\{0\} \cup F(A)) \text{ implies that } \overline{A} \text{ is compact,} \quad (3.2a)$$

$$A \subseteq \overline{U}, \quad A \subseteq co(\{0\} \cup F(A)) \text{ implies that } \overline{A} \text{ is compact} \quad (3.2b)$$

or

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A \subseteq \bar{U}, A \subseteq \text{co}(\{x_0\} \cup F(A)), \text{ with } \bar{A} = \bar{C} \text{ and} \\ C \subseteq A \text{ countable, implies that } \bar{A} \text{ is compact; in addition} \\ \text{assume that for any relatively compact subset } A \text{ of } \bar{U} \text{ there} \\ \text{exists a countable set } B \subseteq A \text{ with } \bar{B} = \bar{A}, \text{ and also if} \\ D \text{ is a compact subset of } \bar{U} \text{ assume that } \bar{\text{co}}(D) \text{ is compact.} \end{array} \right. \quad (3.2c)$$

Then F has a fixed point in \bar{U} .

PROOF. Let $f : \bar{U} \rightarrow C$ be the continuous selection of F guaranteed from Theorem 1.1, μ be the Minkowski functional on \bar{U} and $r : E \rightarrow \bar{U}$ be

$$r(x) = \frac{x}{\max\{1, \mu(x)\}} \quad \text{for } x \in E.$$

Let $G = rf$, and notice that $G : \bar{U} \rightarrow \bar{U}$ is a continuous map.

Case (i). Suppose that (3.2a) holds.

Then we claim that

$$\text{if } A \subseteq \bar{U} \text{ and } A \subseteq \bar{\text{co}}(\{0\} \cup G(A)) \text{ then } \bar{A} \text{ is compact.} \quad (3.3)$$

To see this notice that if $A \subseteq \bar{U}$ and $A \subseteq \bar{\text{co}}(\{0\} \cup G(A))$ then (see Theorem 2.4)

$$A \subseteq \bar{\text{co}}(\{0\} \cup rF(A)) \subseteq \bar{\text{co}}(\{0\} \cup F(A)),$$

and so (3.2a) implies that \bar{A} is compact. Thus (3.3) holds. We may apply Theorem 2.1 (with F replaced by G) to deduce that there exists $x \in \bar{U}$ with $x = G(x)$.

Case (ii). Suppose that (3.2b) holds.

Then as above we can prove that

$$\text{if } A \subseteq \bar{U} \text{ and } A \subseteq \text{co}(\{0\} \cup G(A)) \text{ then } \bar{A} \text{ is compact.} \quad (3.4)$$

Apply Theorem 2.2 (with F replaced by $G = rf$; note that $rf(\bar{A}) \subseteq \overline{rf(\bar{A})}$ for any relatively compact subset A of \bar{U} since r, f are continuous) to deduce that there exists $x \in \bar{U}$ with $x = G(x)$.

Case (iii). Suppose that (3.2c) holds.

Then as above we can prove that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } A \subseteq \bar{U}, A \subseteq \text{co}(\{x_0\} \cup F(A)), \text{ with } \bar{A} = \bar{C} \\ \text{and } C \subseteq A \text{ countable, implies that } \bar{A} \text{ is compact.} \end{array} \right. \quad (3.5)$$

Apply Theorem 2.3 to deduce that there exists $x \in \bar{U}$ with $x = G(x)$.

In all cases there exists $x \in \bar{U}$ with $x = G(x)$. Thus $x = r(y)$ with $y = f(x)$. Now either $y \in \bar{U}$ or $y \notin \bar{U}$. If $y \in \bar{U}$ then $r(y) = y$, so $x = y = f(x) \in F(x)$, and we are

finished. If $y \notin \bar{U}$ then $r(y) = \frac{y}{\mu(y)}$ with $\mu(y) > 1$. Then $x = \lambda y = \lambda f(x) \in \lambda F(x)$ with $0 < \lambda = \frac{1}{\mu(y)} < 1$. This contradicts (3.1). ■

Our final result is a Furi–Pera result for PK maps.

Theorem 3.2. *Let Q be a closed convex subset of a metrisable locally convex topological vector space E with $0 \in Q$. Suppose that $F \in PK(Q, E)$ is a compact map with the following condition satisfied:*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \{(x_j, \lambda_j)\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{ is a sequence in } \partial Q \times [0, 1] \text{ converging} \\ \text{to } (x, \lambda) \text{ with } x \in \lambda F(x) \text{ and } 0 \leq \lambda < 1, \text{ then} \\ \{\lambda_j F(x_j)\} \subseteq Q \text{ for } j \text{ sufficiently large.} \end{array} \right. \quad (3.6)$$

Then F has a fixed point in Q .

PROOF. Note that Q is paracompact. We let $f : Q \rightarrow E$ be the continuous selection of F guaranteed from Theorem 1.1. Let

$$B = \{x \in E : x = fr(x)\},$$

where r is as described in Theorem 2.11. Firstly, $B \neq \emptyset$. To see this, note that fr is a continuous compact map, and we may apply Theorem 2.1 to deduce that $B \neq \emptyset$. Also B is closed and compact since

$$B \subseteq fr(B) \subseteq f(Q) \subseteq F(Q).$$

Suppose that $B \cap Q = \emptyset$. Then, since B is compact and Q is closed, there exists a $\delta > 0$ with $\text{dist}(B, Q) > \delta$. Choose $m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ with $1 < \delta m$. Define

$$U_i = \left\{ x \in E : d(x, Q) < \frac{1}{i} \right\} \quad \text{for } i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\};$$

here d is the metric associated with E . Fix $i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}$. Since $\text{dist}(B, Q) > \delta$, we see that $B \cap \bar{U}_i = \emptyset$. In addition U_i is open and convex, $0 \in U_i$ and $fr : \bar{U}_i \rightarrow E$ is a continuous compact map. Theorem 2.4 (or Theorem 3.1, note that \bar{U}_i is paracompact) guarantees, since $B \cap \bar{U}_i = \emptyset$, that there exists

$$(y_i, \lambda_i) \in \partial U_i \times (0, 1) \quad \text{with} \quad y_i = \lambda_i fr(y_i) \in \lambda_i Fr(y_i).$$

We can do this for each $i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}$. Thus we have

$$\{\lambda_i Fr(y_i)\} \not\subseteq Q \quad \text{for each } i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}. \quad (3.7)$$

Let

$$D = \{x \in E : x = \lambda fr(x) \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Notice that $D \neq \emptyset$ is closed and in fact compact (so sequentially compact), so we may assume without loss of generality that

$$\lambda_j \rightarrow \lambda^* \in [0, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad y_j \rightarrow y^* \in \partial Q.$$

Also $y^* = \lambda^* fr(y^*)$ with $0 \leq \lambda^* < 1$. However, (3.6), with

$$x_j = r(y_j) \in \partial Q \quad \text{and} \quad x = y^* = r(y^*),$$

implies $\{\lambda_j Fr(y_j)\} \subseteq Q$ for j sufficiently large. This contradicts (3.7). Thus $B \cap Q \neq \emptyset$, so there exists $x \in Q$ with $x = fr(x) = f(x) \in F(x)$. ■

Remark 3.1. If E is a Hilbert space in Theorem 3.2 then we can replace $F \in PK(Q, E)$ a compact map with $F \in PK(Q, E)$ a condensing map with $F(Q)$ a bounded subset of E .

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Furi and P. Pera, A continuation method on locally convex spaces and applications to ordinary differential equations on noncompact intervals, *Annales Polonici Mathematici* **47** (1987), 331–46.
- [2] D. O'Regan, Fixed point theory for closed multifunctions, *Archivum Mathematicum* **34** (1998), 191–7.
- [3] D. O'Regan, Fixed point theorems for the \mathcal{B}^k -admissible maps of Park, *Applicable Analysis* **79** (2001), 173–85.
- [4] S. Park, Ninety years of the Brouwer fixed point theorem, *Vietnam Journal of Mathematics* **27** (1999), 187–222.
- [5] S. Park and H. Kim, Admissible classes of multifunctions on generalized convex spaces, *Proceedings of the College of Natural Sciences Seoul National University* **18** (1993), 1–21.