

# CALCULATING THE TRACE OF PROJECTIONS IN CERTAIN CROSSED PRODUCT $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

By D.P. O'DONOVAN\*  
School of Mathematics, Trinity College Dublin  
(Communicated by T.T. West, M.R.I.A.)

[Received 19 July 2001. Read 20 May 2002. Published 31 December 2003.]

## ABSTRACT

This paper studies the range of the trace on projections in certain crossed product  $C^*$ -algebras without explicitly embedding them in an A.F. algebra.

In [10], Pimsner and Voiculescu showed how to embed the irrational relation  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$  in a particular approximately finite  $C^*$ -algebra that had been constructed by Effros and Shen [4]. One of the important consequences of the embedding was that it limited the possible values of the trace on projections in  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ , and taken with Rieffel's results [12], this gave a classification of the  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ .

In [3], Davidson gave another version of the embedding and in [9], Pimsner gave a general theorem with necessary and sufficient conditions for a crossed product  $C^*$ -algebra to be embeddable in an A.F. algebra, based on the notion of pseudo-orbits. Loring [5] gave a version of this general embedding in terms of pseudo-actions.

What worked in [10] was that Pimsner and Voiculescu were able to determine the range of the trace on the projections in the A.F. algebra, and hence limit the possible values on  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ . Here we put forward a method for trying to determine or limit the values of a trace on certain algebras. The method we give avoids mention of any embedding, but we point out that it can be understood as constructing an A.F. algebra, with easily computed tracial range, into which the algebra may be embedded.

Our progress is in two steps. Theorem 1 shows that the norms of certain operators in the crossed product can be approximated by the norm of operators in which  $\phi$  is replaced by an approximating sequence of periodic maps  $\phi_n$ . Later in Theorem 2 it is shown that with a further connection between each  $\phi_n$  and  $\phi_{n+1}$  we are lead to the desired results on the range of a trace.

Let  $\phi$  be a homeomorphism on a compact metric space  $X$ , and let  $\mathcal{A} = C^*(X, \phi)$  be the crossed product  $C^*$ -algebra [6]. For all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  there are maps  $F_n: C(X) \rightarrow C^*(X, \phi)$ , where  $F_n(f) \in l^1(X, \phi)$  is defined by

$$F_n(f)(k) = \begin{cases} f & \text{if } k \neq n \\ 0 & \text{if } k = n \end{cases}$$

and maps  $E_n: C^*(X, \phi) \rightarrow C(X)$  such that  $E_n \circ F_n = id_{C(X)}$ .

If  $\tau$  is any trace on  $\mathcal{A}$ , then we can define a linear functional  $\tau_n$  on  $C(X)$  by  $\tau_n(f) = \tau(F_n(f))$ . Each  $\tau_n = \int f d\mu_n$  for some Borel measure on  $X$ , and since  $\tau$  is a trace,

---

\*E-mail: don@maths.tcd.ie

$\tau_n(f) = \tau_n(f \circ \phi)$  implies that each  $\mu_n$  is  $\phi$  invariant. If  $A$  is an idempotent in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then it can be shown that  $\tau(A) = \int E_0(A) d\mu_0$  (see [2]), and so for idempotents we can ignore any other  $\mu_n$ . We do this and put  $\mu_0 = \mu$ .

We consider the covariant representation  $\pi_\mu$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $L^2(X, \mu)$  as follows:

$$\pi_\mu(\mathcal{A}) = C^* \{ U_\phi, M_f : f \in C(X) \},$$

where  $M_f g = f \cdot g$  and  $U_\phi g = g \circ \phi$ , for all  $g \in L^2(X, \mu)$ . For every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\pi_\mu(A)$  has a Fourier series  $\pi_\mu(A) \sim \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_{f_k} U_\phi^k$ . Analogously to the continuous functions on the unit circle, it is the Caesaro sums of the series that converge, and  $\tau(A) = \int f_0 d\mu$ , so for calculating  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  we can replace  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $\pi_\mu(\mathcal{A})$ . We consider this done and drop the  $\pi_\mu$ .

Next we want to restrict to maps  $\phi$  that can be approximated in a certain way by periodic maps. Specifically we suppose that for each  $n$ ,  $X$  can be partitioned into a finite collection of  $\mu$ -measurable, preferably connected, disjoint sets as follows:

$$\{ C_{i,j}^n \}, \quad i = 1, h(j, n), j = 1, w(n)$$

with

$$\phi(C_{i,j}^n) = C_{i+1,j}^n \quad \text{for all } j, n, i \neq h(j, n).$$

This can be represented diagrammatically as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 X = & C_{1,1}^n & C_{1,2}^n & \dots & C_{1,w(n)}^n & & \\
 & \downarrow \phi & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \phi & & \\
 & C_{2,1}^n & C_{2,2}^n & & C_{2,w(n)}^n & & \\
 & \downarrow \phi & \vdots & & \downarrow \phi & & \\
 & \vdots & \downarrow \phi & & \vdots & & \\
 & \downarrow \phi & C_{h(2,n),2}^n & & \downarrow \phi & & \\
 & C_{h(1,n),1}^n & & & C_{h(w(n),n),w(n)}^n & & 
 \end{array}$$

The above type of partitions can occur, as shown in the two examples that follow.

First let  $\phi$  be an irrational rotation on  $S^1$  [7]. Suppose the angle is  $2\pi\theta$ , with  $\theta < \frac{1}{2}$ . Choose any point  $x$  in  $S^1$  and take a maximal connected subset  $A$  containing  $x$ , such that  $A$  and  $\phi(A)$  are disjoint.  $A$  will be a half-open half-closed interval of length  $\theta$ . Keep iterating  $A, \phi(A), \dots, \phi^{n_1}(A)$ , until  $\phi^{n_1+1}(A) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Switching to  $[0, 1)$ , and by translation with  $\theta$ , we may take  $A$  to be  $[0, \theta)$ . Then if  $n_1 = 3$ , we have the following picture:

$$\overline{0 \quad \theta \quad 2\theta \quad 3\theta \quad 1} .$$

This gives the partition

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X = & 0 \text{ --- } \theta & 3\theta \text{ --- } 1 \\
 & \downarrow & \\
 & \theta \text{ --- } 2\theta & \\
 & \downarrow & \\
 & 2\theta \text{ --- } 3\theta & 
 \end{array}$$

So  $C_{1,1}^1 = [0, \theta)$  and  $C_{1,2}^1 = [3\theta, 1)$ .

If  $\theta > \frac{1}{2}$ , then the maximal set will be of length  $1 - \theta$ , and the decomposition is into two pieces.

The next step is to consider the bottom piece of each stack.  $\phi$  will map the union of these to the union of the two top pieces. We have the following:

$$\phi([3\theta, 1)) \subset [0, \theta), \quad \phi^2([3\theta, 1)) \subset [\theta, 2\theta), \quad \phi^3([3\theta, 1)) \subset [2\theta, 3\theta),$$

by chopping off a substack of the first stack, and then either

$$\phi^4([3\theta, 1)) \cap [3\theta, 1) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{or} \quad \phi^4([3\theta, 1)) \subset [0, \theta).$$

If it is the former we stop; if it is the latter then

$$\phi^5([3\theta, 1)) \subset [\theta, 2\theta] \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^6([3\theta, 1)) \subset [2\theta, 3\theta),$$

and we have chopped off another complete substack of the first stack.

Next  $\phi^7([3\theta, 1)) \cap [3\theta, 1) \neq \emptyset$ , and we stop, or again we get another complete substack of the first stack. Suppose the former so that this stage stops. Then if  $C_{1,1}^2 = [3\theta, 1)$  and  $C_{1,2}^2 = [0, \theta) \setminus (\phi([3\theta, 1)) \cup \phi^4([3\theta, 1))) = [0, 7\theta)$ , we have a partition into two stacks again, where the second is a substack of the previous first stack.

$$\begin{array}{cc} X = C_{1,1}^2 & C_{1,2}^2 \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ C_{2,1}^2 & C_{2,2}^2 \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \vdots & C_{3,2}^2 \\ C_{6,1}^2 & \end{array}$$

For the next step, observe that  $\phi(C_{3,2}^2) \subset C_{1,1}^2$ , i.e.  $[2\theta, 9\theta) \rightarrow [3\theta, 1)$ , and again we keep chopping off substacks of the first stack for as long as we can. We have to stop when the translate of  $\phi(C_{3,2}^2)$  is not disjoint from  $C_{1,2}^2$ . Again there is a substack of the first stack that has not been chopped off. The subset of  $C_{1,1}^2$  with which this starts is  $C_{1,2}^3$ , and the subset of  $C_{1,1}^3$  is  $C_{1,2}^2$ . Then again we get two stacks in the partition, as follows:

$$\begin{array}{cc} X = C_{1,1}^3 & C_{1,2}^3 \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ C_{2,1}^3 & C_{2,2}^3 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \downarrow \\ \vdots & C_{6,2}^3 \\ \downarrow & \\ C_{h(1,3),1}^3 & \end{array}$$

We can continue in this way. At the  $n$ th stage we have a partition with two stacks. So  $w(n) = 2$ , and the heights  $h(1, n)$  and  $h(2, n)$  are  $q_n$  and  $q_{n-1}$ , the denominators of the sequence of best rational approximants for  $\theta$ , i.e. the continued fraction expansion for  $\theta$  [7].

Next we consider the Khakutani adding machine [8]. Here  $X = \prod_1^\infty \{0, 1\}$ , and  $\phi$  takes any sequence and changes all the elements up to and including the first zero, from 1 to 0 or 0 to 1. Then for  $n=1$  we take

$$\begin{array}{c} X = \{0, *, *, \dots\} \\ \downarrow \\ \{1, *, *, \dots\}. \end{array}$$

So  $h(1, 1)=2$  and  $w(1)=1$ .

For  $n=2$  we can take

$$\begin{array}{c} X = \{0, 0, *, *, \dots\} \\ \downarrow \\ \{1, 0, *, *, \dots\} \\ \downarrow \\ \{0, 1, *, *, \dots\} \\ \downarrow \\ \{1, 1, *, *, \dots\}. \end{array}$$

So  $h(1, 2)=4$  and  $w(2)=1$ .

At the  $n$ th stage we take

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X = C_{1,1}^n = \{0, \dots, 0, *, *, \dots\} & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_{2^n,1}^n = \{1, \dots, 1, *, *, \dots\}. & & \end{array}$$

So  $h(1, n)=2^n$  and  $w(n)=1$ .

Returning to the general case, let  $E_n = \cup_j C_{h(j,n),j}^n$  be the union of all the bottom pieces. Then define  $\phi_n$  as follows:

$$\phi_n(x) = \begin{cases} \phi(x) & \text{if } x \notin E_n \\ \phi^{-h(j,n)+1}(x) & \text{if } x \in C_{h(j,n),j}^n \end{cases},$$

and define  $U_{\phi_n} f = f \circ \phi_n$  for all  $f \in L^2(X, \mu)$ . Then  $U_{\phi_n}$  is unitary since  $\phi_n$  is  $\mu$ -invariant. Let  $\mathcal{A}_n = C^*\{U_{\phi_n}, M_{f_k}; f \in C(X)\}$ . Let  $h(n) = \min_j \{h(j, n)\} - 1$ . Then  $I, U_{\phi_n}, \dots, U_{\phi_n}^{h(n)}$  are linearly independent as elements of a  $C(X)$ -module, i.e.

$$T = \sum_0^{h(n)} M_{f_k} U_{\phi_n}^k = 0 \iff M_{f_k} = 0 \quad \text{for all } k,$$

and  $U_{\phi_n}^{*[h(n)/2]}, \dots, I, \dots, U_{\phi_n}^{[h(n)/2]}$  are also linearly independent, where  $[e]$  denotes the integer part of  $e$ . For  $\phi_n$  to approximate  $\phi$  we require  $h(n) \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\text{diam } E_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . These are similar to the conditions that Loring used in [5] for his embedding, but he and Pimsner [9] dealt with open covers, not partitions. Open covers do not lead to results on the range of the trace and do not lead from the irrational rotation  $C^*$ -algebras to the Effros–Shen A.F.  $C^*$ -algebras. Also we have no conditions here relating  $C_{ij}^n$  to  $C_{ij}^{n+1}$ , and thus no embedding of  $\mathcal{A}_n$  into  $\mathcal{A}_{n+1}$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If  $\phi_n$  approximates  $\phi$  as above and  $A = \sum_{-N}^N M_{f_k} U_\phi^k \in \mathcal{A}$ , let  $A_n = \sum_{-N}^N M_{f_k} U_{\phi_n}^k \in \mathcal{A}_n$ , and then  $\|A_n\| \rightarrow \|A\|$ . In fact we will prove more. If  $V_n = U_\phi$  on  $L^2(X \setminus E_n, \mu)$ ,  $V_n$  unitary, then*

$$\left\| \sum_{-N}^N M_{f_k} V_n^k \right\| \rightarrow \|A\|.$$

PROOF. If  $L < h(n)$  we can write  $L^2(X, \mu) = H_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{L-1} H_i$ , where

$$H_i = L^2(\phi^{-L+i+1}(E_n), \mu), \quad i = 1, L-1.$$

Then  $V_n^* H_i = U_\phi^* H_i = H_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, L-1$  and  $V_n^*|_{H_i} = U_\phi^*|_{H_i}$ ,  $i \neq L-1$ .

Then Loring's lemma [5, lemma 2.1] says that there exists a unitary  $W$  such that:

- (i)  $\|WV_n^*W^* - U_\phi^*\| < \pi/L$ ;
- (ii)  $WH_i = H_i$ , for all  $i$ ;
- (iii)  $W|_{H_0} = id_{H_0}$ .

So given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $L > \varepsilon/(4\pi N^2)$ . Then for all  $n$  with  $h(n) > L$ , say  $n \geq N_1$ , we have  $W_n$ , each using this fixed  $L$ , such that

$$\|W_n V_n^k W_n^* - U_\phi^k\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4N} \quad \text{for all } k = -N, N,$$

where  $\|A^k - B^k\| \leq \|A - B\| |k|$  for unitary  $A$  and  $B$ .

Next consider the continuous functions  $\{f_k \circ \phi^j\}$ ,  $k = -N, N$ ,  $j = 1, L-1$ . Since  $\text{diam}(E_n) \rightarrow 0$  the functions become approximately constant on  $E_n$ , so we can find  $N_2$  such that  $n \geq N_2$  implies that for each  $k, j$  there exists a constant  $d_{kj}$  such that  $|f_k \circ \phi^j(x) - d_{kj}| < \varepsilon/(8N)$ , for all  $x \in E_n$ . Then on each  $H_j$ ,  $j = 1, L-1$ , we have  $\|M_{f_k} - d_{kj}I\| < \varepsilon(8N)$ , for all  $k$ .

Since  $W_n H_j \subset H_j$ ,  $j = 1, L-1$ , and  $W_n H_0 = Id_{H_0}$ , it follows that  $\|W_n M_{f_k} W_n^* - M_{f_k}\| < \varepsilon/(4N)$ .

Combining this with  $\|W_n V_n^{*k} W_n^* - U_\phi^{*k}\| < \varepsilon/(4N)$ , and if  $n > \max(N_1, N_2)$ , we obtain

$$\left\| W_n \sum_{-N}^N M_{f_k} V_n^k W_n^* - \sum_{-N}^N M_{f_k} U_\phi^k \right\| < \varepsilon,$$

which gives

$$\left\| \sum_{-N}^N M_{f_k} V_n^k \right\| - \left\| \sum_{-N}^N M_{f_k} U_\phi^k \right\| < \varepsilon,$$

and hence the desired result. ■

Note: In the sequel we need

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{-N}^N M_{f_k} V_n^k \right)^2 - \sum_{-N}^N M_{f_k} V_n^k \right\| \rightarrow \left\| \left( \sum_{-N}^N M_{f_k} U_\phi^k \right)^2 - \sum_{-N}^N M_{f_k} U_\phi^k \right\|.$$

The theorem does not immediately apply as we will have to compare terms like

$$M_{f_n} V_n^N M_{f_n} V_n^{*N} V_n^{2N}$$

with the term  $M_{f_N} M_{f_N \circ \phi^{-N}} U_\phi^{2N}$ .

However, to achieve the desired results, we need only take  $L > \varepsilon / (8\pi N^2)$  above and choose  $N_2$  such that

$$\|f_i \circ \phi^j(x) - C_{ij}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{16N},$$

for some  $C_{ij}$ , for all  $i = -N, N$  and all  $j = -N - L, N$ .

*Remark.* If  $\phi$  has a point whose orbit is dense in support of  $\mu$ , then one can take a faithful representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $L^2(X, \nu)$ , where  $\nu$  is a point mass at each point of the orbit. Then  $\sum_{-N}^N M_{f_k} U_\phi^k$  is represented as a doubly infinite matrix with bandwidth  $2N + 1$ . Each  $\sum_{-N}^N M_{f_k} U_\phi^k$  will be represented as larger and larger block diagonals. It is easy to see what the norm statement in the theorem is saying, and one might imagine being able to prove it without the Berg technique [1]. That would be interesting but not impossible.

Now let us consider  $\mathcal{A}_n$  more closely. We can consider a matrix decomposition corresponding to the sets  $C_{i,j}^n$ , i.e. write  $L^2(X) = \bigoplus_{i,j} L^2(C_{ij}^n)$ . With respect to this decomposition,  $M_f$  is just a diagonal operator  $\bigoplus_{i,j} M_{f|_{C_{i,j}^n}}$ , and  $U_{\phi_n}$  is a direct sum of square blocks of sizes  $h(1, n)$  to  $h(w(n), n)$ . The algebra generated is a direct sum of algebras with  $\sum_0^{h(j,n)} M_{f_k} U_{\phi_n}^k$ , where the  $f_k$  are no longer continuous on  $X$ , but only on the disjoint union of  $C_{i,j}^n$ , as the entries in the  $j$ -block.

Now if  $A$  is a subset of  $X$ , then  $\{f \in C(A) : f = g|_A \text{ and } g \in C(X)\}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, and since these all extend to the closure of  $A$ , then  $\bar{A}$ , and any  $h \in C(\bar{A})$  can be extended to  $X$  by Tietze, and this algebra is (isomorphic to)  $C(\bar{A})$ .

Since  $\phi(C_{i,j}^n) = C_{i+1,j}^n$ , if  $i < h(j, n)$ , and  $\phi$  is  $\mu$  invariant, the map  $f_{ij} \rightarrow f_{i,j} \circ \phi^{i-1}$  is a unitary map  $L^2(C_{ij}^n) \rightarrow L^2(C_{i,j}^n)$ . With this map on each piece we get a unitary map  $V : \bigoplus_{ij} L^2(C_{ij}^n) \rightarrow \bigoplus_j (\bigoplus_i (h(j, n) \text{ copies of } L^2(C_{1,j}^n)))$ . Under this  $V$ ,  $U_{\phi_n}$  is unitarily equivalent to a direct sum of scalar shift matrices, and

$$\mathcal{A}_n \cong C(\overline{C_{1,1}^n}) \otimes M_{h(1, n)} \oplus \dots \oplus C(\overline{C_{1,w(n)}^n}) \otimes M_{h(w(n), n)},$$

where  $M_n$  denotes the  $n \times n$  matrices.

We define a trace on  $\mathcal{A}_n$  by

$$t_n(A) = \sum \int g_{ii} d\mu,$$

where  $g_{ii}$  are the functions on the diagonal that comes with the original matrix decomposition. Note that we get the same result if we use the diagonal in the last representation.

Let us return to our trace on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a self-adjoint idempotent  $\mathcal{A} \sim \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_{f_k} U_\phi^k$ . The Caesaro means of  $A$  converge to  $A$ , i.e. if

$$\sigma_N(A) = \sum_{-N}^N M_{f_k} \frac{N - |k|}{N} U_\phi^k,$$

then  $\sigma_N(A) \rightarrow A$ .

So  $\forall i$ , choose  $N_{1,i}$  such that  $\|\sigma_{N_{1,i}}(A) - A\| < 1/i$ .

Now if  $A$  is self-adjoint, then  $\sigma_N(A)$  is also, but  $\sigma_N(A_n)$ , i.e.  $\sigma_N(A)$  with  $\phi$  replaced by  $\phi_n$  is not necessarily so. However, the proof of Theorem 1 shows that  $\|(\frac{1}{2})(\sigma_N(A_n) + \sigma_N(A_n)^*)\| \rightarrow \|A\|$ . So we let  $\sigma_N^R(A_n) = (\frac{1}{2})(\sigma_N(A_n) + \sigma_N(A_n)^*)$ .

The usual method of producing self-adjoint idempotents is now used. If  $\|T^2 - T\| < \frac{1}{4}$  and  $T$  is self-adjoint, then  $\frac{1}{2}$  does not belong to  $sp(T)$ , the spectrum of  $T$ . So we have an idempotent  $P$  in  $C^*(T)$  corresponding to the continuous function on the spectrum

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & \text{if } x > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}.$$

If  $\|T\|$  is close to 1 then  $P \neq 0$ . The smaller  $\|T^2 - T\|$  is, the closer  $Sp(T)$  must be to a subset of  $\{0, 1\}$ , and hence the smaller  $\|P - T\| = \sup_x \|g(x) - x\|$  is.

Now for every  $i \geq 1$ , by Theorem 1 choose  $N_{2,i}$  such that if  $n \geq N_{2,i}$ , we have:

- (i)  $h(n) > 2N_{1,i}$ ;
- (ii)  $\|\sigma_{N_{1,i}}^R(A_n)^2 - \sigma_{N_{1,i}}^R(A_n)\| - \|\sigma_{N_{1,i}}(A)^2 - \sigma_{N_{1,i}}(A)\| < \frac{1}{i}$ ;

and if  $i > 1$

- (iii)  $\|\sigma_{N_{1,i-1}}^R(A_n) - \sigma_{N_{1,i}}^R(A_n)\| - \|\sigma_{N_{1,i-1}}(A) - \sigma_{N_{1,i}}(A)\| < \frac{1}{i}$ .

It follows that if  $i$  is large enough, say  $i > I$ , we have idempotents  $P_{N_{1,i},n}$  for all  $n \geq N_{2,i}$ , with the property that  $\|P_{N_{1,i},N_{2,i}} - \sigma_{N_{1,i}}^R(A_{N_{2,i}})\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . By (i), we have the linear independence that was mentioned earlier, so for  $t_n$  on  $\mathcal{A}_n$ ,

$$t_n(\sigma_{N_{1,i}}^R(A_n)) = t_n(\sigma_{N_{1,i}}(A_n)) = \int f_0 d\mu = \tau(A).$$

Hence  $t_{N_{2,i}}(P_{N_{1,i},N_{2,i}}) \rightarrow \tau(A)$ , as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $n \geq N_{2,i}$ . Henceforth we will assume  $i > I$ .

Now if the  $\overline{C_{1,j}^n}$  are all connected, then the trace of any idempotent in  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is of the form

$$n_1\mu(C_{1,1}^n) + n_2\mu(C_{1,2}^n) + \dots + n_{w(n)}\mu(C_{1,w(n)}^n)$$

for some integers  $n_1, \dots, n_{w(n)}$ . If the  $\overline{C_{1,j}^n}$  are not connected, one must use the components of each. Then we have terms of the form  $\mu(O \cap C_{1,j}^n)$ , if  $O$  is a component  $\overline{C_{1,j}^n}$ .

Now just being a limit of such numbers will probably not yield much information. We need to relate  $t_{N_{2,i}}(P_{N_{1,i},N_{2,i}})$  to  $t_{N_{2,i+1}}(P_{N_{1,i+1},N_{2,i+1}})$ . This would only seem possible in some  $C^*$ -algebra containing both projections, onto which one has the traces on  $\mathcal{A}_{N_{2,i}}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{N_{2,i+1}}$  extending. The easiest, and maybe the only way, is if we have an embedding  $\mathcal{A}_{N_{2,i}} \subset \mathcal{A}_{N_{2,i+1}}$ . This of course gives an A.F. algebra. At this stage we have a choice. We can prove that the Crossed Product can be embedded in the A.F. algebra, and with the  $\overline{C_{ij}^n}$  connected, we have the range of the trace on idempotents as above [11]. Or we can avoid any explicit mention of the A.F. algebra and show that eventually the sequence of  $\tau(P_{N_{1,i},N_{2,i}})$  becomes constant. We proceed with the latter.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $\phi_n$  approximate  $\phi$  as before and  $E_{n+1} \subset E_n$ , then each  $P_{N_{1,i},N_{2,i}}$  is connected to  $P_{N_{1,i},N_{2,i+1}}$  by a path of idempotents, if  $i$  is large enough.*

PROOF. First we want to see that  $E_{n+1} \subset E_n$  implies  $\mathcal{A}_n \subset \mathcal{A}_{n+1}$ . Recall that  $E_n$  is the union of the bottom pieces of all the stacks in the  $n$ -partition. Let  $I_n$  be the union of all

the top pieces. Then  $\phi(E_n) = I_n$ . So  $\phi(E_{n+1}) = I_{n+1} \subset I_n = \phi(E_n)$ . This means that each stack of the  $(n + 1)$ -partition has at its top a piece of the top of a stack of the  $n$ -partition, and as its bottom a piece of a stack of the  $n$ -partition, and hence that each stack of the  $(n + 1)$ -partition consists of complete substacks of the  $n$ -partition. By a substack of  $C_{1,1}^n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C_{h(1,n),1}^n$  we mean  $A_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_{h(1,n)}$ , where  $A_1 \subset C_{1,1}^n$ . Now one can see that  $\mathcal{A}_n \subset \mathcal{A}_{n+1}$ , by looking at the  $L^2$  decompositions corresponding to the  $n$ -partition and its refinement the  $(n + 1)$ -partition.

If for each  $L^2(C_{h(j,n),j}^n)$  we choose an orthonormal basis  $\{f_{jk}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ , then  $\{f_{jk} \circ \phi^i\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(C_{h(j,n)-i,j}^{n+1})$ , and taking these for all  $j = i, w(n)$ , we get an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(X)$ . Using these with the  $\bigoplus_{i,j} L^2(C_{i,j}^{n+1})$  decomposition, both  $U_{\phi_n}$  and  $U_{\phi_{n+1}}$  are ‘scalar’ matrices that agree, except on that part of the matrix corresponding to mapping  $L^2(E_n)$  onto  $L^2(I_n)$ . Hence they can be joined by a path of unitaries that agree with  $U_{\phi_n}$  and  $U_{\phi_{n+1}}$ , except on that piece. This path is in  $\mathcal{A}_{n+1}$ . Similarly  $\mathcal{A}_{N_{1,i}, N_{2,i}} \subset \mathcal{A}_{N_{1,i}, N_{2,i+1}}$ , and the corresponding  $U_{\phi_n}$  are connected by a path of unitaries, say  $U_t$ , in the latter.

We had

$$\sigma_{N_{1,i}}(A_{N_{2,i}}) = \sum_{-N_{1,i}}^{N_{1,i}} M_{fk} \frac{N_{1,i} - |k|}{N_{1,i}} U_{\phi_{N_{2,i}}}^k.$$

If we replace  $U_{\phi_{N_{2,i}}}$  by  $U_t$ , and make them self-adjoint, taking  $\sigma^R$  as before, we get a path of self-adjoints in  $\mathcal{A}_{N_{2,i+1}}$  joining  $\sigma_{N_{1,i}}^R(A_{N_{2,i}})$  and  $\sigma_{N_{1,i}}^R(A_{N_{2,i+1}})$ . But from Theorem 1 it follows that all the elements of this path have spectra disconnected at  $\frac{1}{2}$ , so we get idempotents  $P_r$ . Since these can be had by integrating along a fixed path around the point 1, it follows that we have a continuous path of idempotents in  $\mathcal{A}_{N_{2,i+1}}$  connecting  $P_{N_{1,i}, N_{2,i}}$  and  $P_{N_{1,i}, N_{2,i+1}}$  as desired.

On  $\mathcal{A}_{N_{2,i+1}}$  we have  $t_{N_{2,i+1}}$ , and the restriction of this to  $\mathcal{A}_{N_{2,i}}$  is  $t_{N_{2,i}}$ . Then since they are connected we have  $t_{N_{2,i}}(P_{N_{1,i}, N_{2,i}}) = t_{N_{2,i+1}}(P_{N_{1,i}, N_{2,i+1}})$ . And by (iii) of the choice of  $N_{2,i}$ ,  $\|P_{N_{1,i}, N_{2,i+1}} - P_{N_{1,i+1}, N_{2,i+1}}\| \rightarrow 0$ . Once it is less than one  $t_{N_{2,i+1}}(P_{N_{1,i}, N_{2,i+1}}) = t_{N_{2,i+1}}(P_{N_{1,i+1}, N_{2,i+1}})$ . Hence we have a constant subsequence converging to  $\tau(A)$ . So  $\tau(A) = n_1\mu(C_{11}^n) + \dots + n_{w(n)}\mu(C_{1w(n)}^n)$  for some  $n$ , again with the connectivity assumptions. ■

### REFERENCES

- [1] I.D. Berg, On approximation of normal operators by weighted shifts, *Michigan Mathematics Journal* **21** (1974), 377–83.
- [2] B.L. Blackadar, *K-Theory of operator algebras*, Mathematical Science Research Institute Proceedings, Springer, 1986.
- [3] K.R. Davidson, Berg’s technique and irrational rotation algebras, *Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy* **84A** (1984), 117–23.
- [4] E.G. Effros and C.L. Shen, Approximately finite  $C^*$ -algebras and continued fractions, *Indiana University Mathematics Journal* **29** (1980), 191–204.
- [5] T. Loring, Berg’s technique for pseudo-actions with applications to AF-embeddings, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* **43** (1991), 119–57.
- [6] D.P. O’Donovan, Weighted shifts and covariance algebras, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **208** (1975), 1–25.
- [7] D.P. O’Donovan, A tale of three  $C^*$ -algebras, *Journal of Operator Theory* **19** (1988), 199–215.

- [8] D.P. O'Donovan, A covariance algebra naturally associated with the CAR algebra, *Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy* **89A** (1989), 119–25.
- [9] M. Pimsner, Embedding some transformation group  $C^*$ -algebras into AF algebras, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems* **3** (1983), 613–26.
- [10] M. Pimsner and D. Voiculescu, Embedding the irrational rotation algebra into an AF algebra, *Journal of Operator Theory* **4** (1980), 201–10.
- [11] C.F. Skau, Minimal dynamical systems, ordered Bratteli diagrams, and associated  $C^*$ -crossed products. *Current topics in operator algebras (Nara, 1990)* 264–80, World Scientific Publishing, New Jersey, 1991.
- [12] M.A. Rieffel,  $C^*$ -algebras associated with irrational rotations, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* **93** (1981), 415–29.