

SOME VARIANTS OF WEBER'S THEOREM

By SALAH MECHERI*

King Saud University College of Science, Department of Mathematics,
P.O. Box 2455, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia

[Received 29 May 2002. Read 30 November 2002. Published November 2004.]

ABSTRACT

Weber's theorem says that if $A : H \rightarrow H$ is bounded and linear on a separable Hilbert space, then any operator that is compact, commutes with A and lies in the weak closure of the range of the inner derivation induced by A must also be quasinilpotent. In this note we consider related problems for generalised inner derivations associated with operators A and B on H .

1. Introduction

For bounded linear operators $T : X \rightarrow Y$ and $S : Y \rightarrow Z$ on Banach spaces the condition

$$S^{-1}(0) \cap T(X) = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

is equivalent to equality $(ST)^{-1}(0) = T^{-1}(0)$; when $X = Y = Z$ and $T = S^n$ this is the familiar condition that the operator S 'has ascent $\leq n$ '. Stronger conditions would replace the range $T(X)$ by its closure, either in the norm or in some weaker topology; weaker conditions would ask that the intersection of $S^{-1}(0) \cap T(X)$ with some subspace of Y was in some sense nearly zero. Thus Kleinecke [3] showed that if $X = Y = Z = \mathcal{A}$ for a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} and $S = T = \delta_a : x \mapsto ax - xa$ is an inner derivation on \mathcal{A} then

$$S^{-1}(0) \cap T(X) \subseteq Q, \quad (1.2)$$

where $Q = QN(\mathcal{A})$ is the quasinilpotents in \mathcal{A} . Weber [6] showed for the same S and T that when $\mathcal{A} = B(H)$ for separable Hilbert space H , then

$$S^{-1}(0) \cap cl_\tau T(X) \cap J \subseteq Q, \quad (1.3)$$

where cl_τ represents the closure in $B(H)$ with respect to the weak operator topology $\tau = w$ and $J = K(H)$ is the compact operator. In this note we consider more generally $S = \delta_{AB} : U \mapsto AU - UB$ with either $T = S$ or $T = \delta_{A^*B^*}$, and we find that, for example, (1.3) holds for $Q = \{0\}$ and $S = \Delta_{AB}$ and $T = \Delta_{A^*B^*}$ when J is the finite rank operators and $\tau = w$, the weak operator topology, and also when J is the trace class and $\tau = w^*$, the ultra weak operator topology.

*E-mail: mecherisalah@hotmail.com

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47B47, 47A30, 47B20; Secondary 47B10.

This work is supported by the Research Center Project No. Math/1422/10

2. Preliminaries

Let C_1 be the ideal of trace class operator, that is, all compact operators $A \in B(H)$ for which the eigenvalues of $(TT^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ counted according to multiplicity are summable. The ideal C_1 admits a complex valued function $tr(T)$ that has the characteristic properties of the trace for matrices. The trace function is defined by $tr(T) = \sum_n (Te_n, e_n)$, where (e_n) is any complete orthonormal sequence in H . As Banach spaces, C_1 may be identified with the conjugate space of the ideal $K(H)$ of compact operators by means of the linear isometry $T \mapsto f_T$, where $f_T(X) = tr(XT)$. Moreover, $B(H)$ is the conjugate space of C_1 . The ultraweak continuous linear functionals on $B(H)$ are those of the form f_T for some $T \in C_1$. Furthermore, the weak continuous linear functionals on $B(H)$ are those of the form f_T , where T is of finite rank.

We begin with the following result of Weber [6].

Theorem 2.1. *Let $A \in B(H)$ and let T be a trace class operator which commutes with A . If either*

- (1) *T is the weak limit of a sequence of elements in $R(\delta_A)$, or*
- (2) *T is of finite rank and in the weak closure of $R(\delta_A)$,*

then $tr(T^n) = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let T be an operator for which there exists a projector $P \in \{T\}''$ (bicommutant of T) such that $T|_{R(P)}$ is of finite rank and $tr(T^n) \neq 0$. Then $T \notin \overline{R(\delta_A)}^w \cap \{A\}'$, where $\{A\}'$ is the commutant of A .*

PROOF. Suppose that $AX_\alpha - X_\alpha A \xrightarrow{w} T \in \{A\}'$, since $P \in \{T\}''$; also we have $P \in \{T\}'$. Consequently, the subspace $R(P)$ reduces T and A . Hence we can write with respect to the decomposition $H = R(P) \oplus R(I - P)$ topologic direct sum

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} B & * \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix}, \quad X_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} Y_\alpha & * \\ * & * \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $AX_\alpha - X_\alpha A \xrightarrow{w} T \in \{A\}'$, then $BY_\alpha - Y_\alpha B \xrightarrow{w} S \in \{B\}'$. Since $S = T|_{R(P)}$, hence it follows from Theorem 2.1, that $tr(T^n) = 0$, which contradicts the hypothesis on T . ■

Corollary 2.1. (Weber's theorem). *Let $A \in B(H)$, if K is a compact operator in $\overline{R(\delta_A)}^w \cap \{A\}'$, then K is quasinilpotent.*

PROOF. Since the Riesz projection associated with a non-vanishing point of the spectrum of the compact operator K , is of finite rank and belongs to the bicommutant of K , hence it suffices to apply Theorem 2.2. ■

3. Quasinilpotent operators in $\overline{R(\delta_A)} \cap \{A^*\}'$

Let \wp denote a class of operators, satisfying the following properties:

- (1) If $A \in \wp$ and M is an invariant subspace of A , then $A|_M \in \wp$;

- (2) If $A \in \wp$ and the restriction of A to an invariant subspace is normal, then M reduces A ;
- (3) If $A|_M \in \wp$ and M is finite dimensional, then $A|_M$ is normal. As a trivial example of the class \wp one can consider $\wp = \{0\}$; an interesting class is \wp , the class of all hyponormal operators.

An operator $A \in B(H)$ is said to be k -quasihyponormal, for $k \geq 1$ some integer, if

$$\|A^*A^kx\| \geq \|A^{k+1}x\|$$

for all x in H , or equivalently $A^{*k}(A^*A - AA^*)A^k \geq 0$. A 1-quasihyponormal is quasihyponormal. An operator $A \in B(H)$ is called *dominant* by Stampfli and Wadhwa [5] if, for all complex λ , $\text{range}(A - \lambda) \subseteq \text{range}(A - \lambda)^*$, or equivalently, if there is a real number $M_\lambda \geq 1$ such that

$$\|(A - \lambda)^*f\| \leq M_\lambda \|(A - \lambda)f\|,$$

for all $f \in H$. If there exists a real number M such that $M_\lambda \leq M$ for all λ , the dominant operator A is said to be M -hyponormal. A 1-hyponormal is hyponormal.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $A \in B(H)$. If $T \in \overline{R(\delta_A)}^w \cap \{A^*\}'$, then*

$$A \in \wp \Rightarrow \{\lambda \in \sigma_p(T^*) : \dim \ker(T^* - \bar{\lambda}) < \infty\} \subset \{0\},$$

$$A^* \in \wp \Rightarrow \{\lambda \in \sigma_p(T) : \dim \ker(T - \lambda) < \infty\} \subset \{0\},$$

where $\sigma_p(T)$ is the point spectrum of T .

PROOF. We start with the second assertion. Suppose that $A^* \in \wp$ and $T \in \overline{R(\delta_A)}^w \cap \{A^*\}'$. Let $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$ such that $E = \ker(T - \lambda)$ is finite dimensional, then the subspace E is invariant under T and A^* . Since $A^* \in \wp$, E reduces A^* . Let $H = E \oplus E^\perp$; hence we can write

$$A^* = \begin{bmatrix} A_1^* & 0 \\ 0 & A_2^* \end{bmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & * \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $T \in \overline{R(\delta_A)}^w$, $\lambda I_E \in R(\delta_{A_1})$ and this implies that $\lambda = 0$. This completes the proof of the second assertion.

Remark that if $T \in \overline{R(\delta_A)}^w \cap \{A^*\}'$, then

$$T^* \in \overline{R(\delta_{A^*})}^w \cap \{A\}'.$$

Then the first assertion of the theorem follows in exactly the same way as the second. ■

Corollary 3.1. *If A or $A^* \in \wp$. Then every compact operator in $\overline{R(\delta_A)}^w \cap \{A^*\}'$ is quasinilpotent.*

PROOF. Suppose that $T \in \overline{R(\delta_A)}^w \cap \{A^*\}'$ with T compact and $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \setminus \{0\}$. Then, $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$ with $\dim \ker(T - \lambda) < \infty$ and $\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_p(T^*)$ with $\dim \ker(T^* - \bar{\lambda}) < \infty$. Thus, T is quasinilpotent by Theorem 3.1. ■

Let \mathcal{S} , \mathcal{M} , \mathcal{D} and $\mathcal{Q}(k)$ be respectively the class of subnormal, M -hyponormal, dominant and k -quasihyponormal operators.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $A \in B(H)$. Then every compact operator in $\overline{R(\delta_A)}^w \cap \{A^*\}'$ is quasinilpotent in each of the following cases:*

- (1) $A \in \mathcal{S}$ or $A^* \in \mathcal{S}$;
- (2) $A \in \mathcal{M}$ or $A^* \in \mathcal{M}$;
- (3) $A \in \mathcal{D}$ or $A^* \in \mathcal{D}$;
- (4) $A \in \mathcal{Q}(k)$ or $A^* \in \mathcal{Q}(k)$.

PROOF. Adapted from Duggal [2], if we have (1), (2), (3) and (4), then $A, A^* \in \wp$. It suffices to apply Corollary 3.1. ■

Let \mathfrak{F} and C_1 be respectively the ideal of finite rank and the ideal of trace class operators on H . The weakly continuous linear form (*resp.* the ultra-weakly continuous linear form) on $B(H)$ is defined by; Φ_T , where $T \in \mathfrak{F}$ (*resp.* $T \in C_1$) with $\Phi_T = tr(TX) = tr(XT)$ for every $X \in B(H)$ (see [1, p. 23]).

Theorem 3.2. *Let $A, B \in B(H)$. Then*

- (1) *every finite rank operator in $\overline{R(\delta_{A,B})}^w \cap \ker \delta_{A^*,B^*}$ vanishes,*
- (2) *every trace class operator in $\overline{R(\delta_{A,B})}^{w*} \cap \ker \delta_{A^*,B^*}$ vanishes.*

PROOF. (1) Let $T \in \overline{R(\delta_{A,B})}^w \cap \ker \delta_{A^*,B^*} \cap \mathfrak{F}$. Then,

$$T^* \in \ker \delta_{A,B} \cap \mathfrak{F}; T^*A = BT^*.$$

Since $T \in \overline{R(\delta_{A,B})}^w$, there exists a generalised sequence $\{X_\alpha\}$ such that

$$AX_\alpha - X_\alpha B \xrightarrow{w} T,$$

hence,

$$\Phi_{T^*}(AX_\alpha - X_\alpha B) \xrightarrow{w} \Phi_{T^*}(T)$$

and we have

$$\Phi_{T^*}(AX_\alpha - X_\alpha B) = tr(T^*(AX_\alpha - X_\alpha B)) = tr(T^*AX_\alpha) - tr(T^*X_\alpha B).$$

So,

$$0 = \Phi_{T^*}(AX_\alpha - X_\alpha B) \xrightarrow{w} \Phi_{T^*}(T).$$

Then, $\Phi_{T^*}(T) = tr(TT^*) = 0$, that is, $TT^* = 0$ and thus $T = 0$.

(2) It suffices to replace \mathfrak{F} by C_1 in the above proof. ■

Remark 3.1. *The problem of classifying all non-compact operators in $\overline{R(\delta_A)}^w \cap \{A^*\}'$ or in $\overline{R(\delta_A)}^w \cap \{A\}'$ for a given $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is still an open problem.*

Theorem 3.3. *Let $A, B \in B(H)$. Then*

$$(1) \overline{R(\delta_{A,B})}^w = B(H) \Leftrightarrow \ker \delta_{B,A} \cap \mathfrak{I}_1 = 0,$$

$$(2) \overline{R(\delta_{A,B})}^{w*} = B(H) \Leftrightarrow \ker \delta_{B,A} \cap C_1 = 0.$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow Suppose that $\overline{R(\delta_{A,B})}^w = B(H)$ and $T \in \ker \delta_{B,A} \cap \mathfrak{I}$, then

$$T^* \in \overline{R(\delta_{A,B})}^w \cap \ker \delta_{A^*,B^*},$$

hence $T = 0$ by Theorem 3.2.

\Leftarrow Suppose that there exists $T \in B(H) \setminus \overline{R(\delta_{A,B})}^w$, then there exists $S \in \mathfrak{I}$ such that $\text{tr}(ST) \neq \text{tr}(SX) = 0$, for every $X \in \overline{R(\delta_{A,B})}^w$. So, $T \in \ker \delta_{B,A} \cap \mathfrak{I}$ and $S \neq 0$.

(2) It suffices to replace \mathfrak{I} by C_1 in the above proof. ■

4. Cases where $\overline{R(\delta_{A,B})}^w \cap \ker \delta_{A^*,B^*} = \{0\}$

Definition 4.1. *An operator $A \in B(H)$ is called algebraic if $P(A) = 0$ for some non-trivial polynomial P . If P is of degree ≤ 2 we shall call A quadratic.*

Lemma 4.1. *Let $A \in B(H)$ and P, Q be two positive operators. If $AP + QA = 0$, then $AP = QA = 0$.*

PROOF. Since $AP + QA = 0$, then $AP^2 = Q^2A$, and so $A\sqrt{P^2} = \sqrt{Q^2}A$, that is, $AP = QA$. ■

Theorem 4.1. *Let $A \in B(H)$. If every positive operator in $\overline{R(\delta_A)}^w$ vanishes, then*

$$\overline{R(\delta_{A,B})}^w \cap \ker \delta_{A^*,B^*} = \{0\}$$

for every operator $B \in B(H)$.

PROOF. Suppose that $T^* \in \overline{R(\delta_{A,B})}^w \cap \ker \delta_{A^*,B^*}$, then $TT^* \in \overline{R(\delta_A)}^w$, since TT^* is a positive operator, it follows that, $TT^* = 0$ and $T = 0$. ■

Corollary 4.1. *Let $A \in B(H)$ be quadratic. Then*

$$\overline{R(\delta_{A,B})}^w \cap \ker \delta_{A^*,B^*} = \{0\}$$

for every operator $B \in B(H)$.

PROOF. It suffices to prove that every positive operator in $\overline{R(\delta_A)}^w$ vanishes. Indeed, since trivially $\delta_{A^2-\lambda} = \delta_{A^2}$ for every complex λ , it suffices to consider $P(A) = A^2 - 2\beta A$. Let S be a positive operator, if $S \in \overline{R(\delta_A)}^w$, then $AS + SA \in \overline{R(\delta_{A^2})}^w$. Therefore $AS + SA - 2\beta S \in \overline{R(\delta_{A^2-2\beta A})}^w$. So $AS + SA - 2\beta S = 0$, or $S(A - \beta) + (A - \beta)S = 0$. Since S is positive, it results from Lemma 4.1, that $AS = SA = \beta S$.

Since $S \in \overline{R(\delta_A)}^w$, then there exists a generalised sequence $\{X_\alpha\}$ such that $AX_\alpha - X_\alpha A \xrightarrow{w} S$. Then $0 = SAX_\alpha S - SX_\alpha AS \xrightarrow{w} S^3$, hence $S^3 = 0$, and so $S = 0$. ■

Remark 4.1. *If A is quadratic, then*

$$\overline{R(\delta_A)}^w \cap \ker \delta_{A^*} = \{0\},$$

in particular if A is nilpotent of order 2, $\overline{R(\delta_A)}^w \cap \ker \delta_{A^} = \{0\}$.*

We can ask the following questions:

- (1) *Which positive operators belong to $\overline{R(\delta_A)}^w$?*
- (2) *Is $\overline{R(\delta_A)}^w \cap \ker \delta_{A^*} = \{0\}$, if A is nilpotent of order 3?*

Corollary 4.2. *Let $A \in B(H)$. Suppose that $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$ on $H_1 \oplus H_2$ (orthogonal direct sum), where A_1 is such that $P(A_1) = 0$ for some quadratic polynomial $P(z)$ and A_2 is such that $\overline{R(\delta_{A_2})}^w \cap \ker \delta_{A_2^*} = \{0\}$. Then*

$$\overline{R(\delta_A)}^w \cap \ker \delta_{A^*} = \{0\}.$$

PROOF. Let $T^* \in \overline{R(\delta_A)}^w \cap \ker \delta_{A^*}$. Then there exists a generalised sequence $\{X_\alpha\}$ in $B(H)$ such that

$$(A_1 \oplus A_2)X_\alpha - X_\alpha(A_1 \oplus A_2) \xrightarrow{w} T^*; (A_1 \oplus A_2)T = T(A_1 \oplus A_2).$$

Then we can write respectively A, X_α and T on $H_1 \oplus H_2$:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix}; \quad X_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} X_\alpha^1 & X_\alpha^2 \\ X_\alpha^3 & X_\alpha^4 \end{bmatrix}; \quad T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ T_3 & T_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 X_\alpha^1 - X_\alpha^1 A_1 &\xrightarrow{w} T_1^*; A_1 T_1 = T_1 A_1, \\ A_2 X_\alpha^4 - X_\alpha^4 A_2 &\xrightarrow{w} T_4^*; A_2 T_4 = T_4 A_2, \\ A_1 X_\alpha^2 - X_\alpha^2 A_2 &\xrightarrow{w} T_2^*; A_1 T_2 = T_2 A_2, \\ A_2 X_\alpha^3 - X_\alpha^3 A_1 &\xrightarrow{w} T_3^*; A_2 T_3 = T_3 A_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} T_1^* &\in \overline{R(\delta_{A_1})}^w \cap \ker \delta_{A_1^*}, \\ T_4^* &\in \overline{R(\delta_{A_2})}^w \cap \ker \delta_{A_2^*}, \\ T_2 &\in \overline{R(\delta_{A_1^*, A_2^*})}^w \cap \ker \delta_{A_1, A_2}, \\ T_3^* &\in \overline{R(\delta_{A_1, A_2})}^w \cap \ker \delta_{A_1^*, A_2^*}. \end{aligned}$$

By applying Corollary 4.1 and Remark 4.1, we obtain $T_1 = T_2 = T_3 = T_4 = 0$, hence $T = 0$. ■

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is greatly indebted to the referee for valuable remarks and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.B. Conway, *Subnormal operators*. Putnam Advanced Publishing Program, Boston, London, Melbourne, 1981.
- [2] B.P. Duggal, On generalized Putnam–Fuglede theorem, *Monatshefte für Mathematik* **107** (1989), 309–32.
- [3] D.C. Kleinecke, On operator commutators, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **8** (1957), 535–6.
- [4] Y. Ho, Commutants and derivation ranges, *Tohoku. Math.* **27** (1975), 509–14.
- [5] J.G. Stampfli and B.L. Wadhwa, On dominant operators, *Monatshefte für Mathematik* **84** (1977), 143–53.
- [6] R.E. Weber, Derivation and the trace class operators, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **73** (1979), 79–82.