

ON THE OCCURRENCE OF SOME FINITE GROUPS IN THE
CENTRAL AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF FINITE GROUPS

BY

MIR-HAYDAR JAFARI

Faculty of Mathematics, University for Teacher Education, 599 Taleghani
Avenue, Tehran 15618, Iran

and

ALI-REZA JAMALI*

Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics (IPM), Tehran,
Iran

[Accepted 18 August 2005. Published 30 August 2006.]

ABSTRACT

In this paper we first provide a number of results on the central automorphism group $\text{Aut}_c(G)$ of a finite group G , which enable us to study the occurrence of some finite groups in the central automorphism group of finite groups. We then completely determine the finite solutions of the equation $\text{Aut}_c(X) \cong J$, where $J \in \{Q_n, D_n, L(pq)\}$.

1. Introduction

Let G be a group. We let $\text{Aut}(G)$ denote the group of all automorphisms of G . An automorphism σ of G is said to be *central* if σ commutes with every inner automorphism of G , or equivalently $x^{-1}\sigma(x)$ lies in the centre $Z(G)$ of G for every $x \in G$. The central automorphisms form a normal subgroup, denoted by $\text{Aut}_c(G)$, of the full automorphism group $\text{Aut}(G)$.

The central automorphism group of a finite group is of great importance in studying the full automorphism group of the group. There have been a number of results on the central automorphism group of a finite group, for examples see [1; 2; 3; 5; 6; 8].

In [4], Flannery and MacHale investigated finite groups which can occur as the automorphism group of a finite group. Inspired by this work, it is natural to ask whether or not there exists a finite group G whose central automorphism group is isomorphic to a given group J . The case when J is elementary abelian, or more generally homocyclic, was treated in [5; 6].

In this paper, we first produce a number of general results on the central automorphism group of a finite group to be used in our study. We then investigate the equation $\text{Aut}_c(X) \cong J$, when $J \in \{Q_n, L(pq), D_n\}$, where Q_n and D_n are the dicyclic group of order $4n$ and the dihedral group of order $2n$,

*Corresponding author, e-mail: jamali@saba.tmu.ac.ir

respectively, and $L(pq)$ is the non-abelian group of order pq , where p and q are primes with $p > q$ such that q divides $p - 1$.

In particular, we shall show that the following equations have no finite solutions:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Aut}_c(X) &\cong Q_n & (n \geq 2), \\ \text{Aut}_c(X) &\cong D_n & (n \geq 5, n \neq 6), \\ \text{Aut}_c(X) &\cong L(pq) & ((p, q) \neq (3, 2)).\end{aligned}$$

Throughout the paper, all groups are supposed to be finite. All unexplained notation is standard and follows that of Gorenstein [7]. If $G = H_1 \times \dots \times H_n$, we let π_i denote the projection of G on the i -th direct factor of G . The order of x is denoted by $o(x)$. Finally, for a finite abelian group G , and a prime p , G_p denotes the unique Sylow p -subgroup of G .

2. Preliminary and basic results

Let σ be a central automorphism of G . Clearly the map $f_\sigma : x \mapsto x^{-1}\sigma(x)$ defines a homomorphism from G into $Z(G)$. On the other hand, the map $\sigma_f : x \mapsto xf(x)$ defines an endomorphism of G for all f in $\text{Hom}(G, Z(G))$. This endomorphism is a central automorphism if and only if $f(x) \neq x^{-1}$ for every x in $G - \{1\}$. In [1] Adney and Yen investigated conditions under which the mappings $\sigma \mapsto f_\sigma$ and $f \mapsto \sigma_f$ are inverses of each other, so that a bijection between $\text{Aut}_c(G)$ and $\text{Hom}(G, Z(G))$ exists. It was shown in [1, theorem 1] that a necessary and sufficient condition for a finite group G to have such a bijection is that G has no non-trivial abelian direct factors. Such groups are called *purely non-abelian* groups.

As any homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow Z(G)$ induces a homomorphism $\bar{f} : G/G' \rightarrow Z(G)$, and vice versa, we see that $|\text{Hom}(G, Z(G))| = |\text{Hom}(G/G', Z(G))|$. Accordingly, if G is a purely non-abelian p -group, then $\text{Aut}_c(G)$ is also a p -group, by using [3, lemma C].

Let G be a purely non-abelian group and $f, g \in \text{Hom}(G, Z(G))$, then $\sigma_f \sigma_g = \sigma_g \sigma_f$ if and only if $f \circ g = g \circ f$. In particular, if $\text{Im} f \leq G'$ for all f in $\text{Hom}(G, Z(G))$, then $\text{Aut}_c(G)$ is abelian.

We recall that if $H \leq Z(G)$ and K/G' is a direct factor of G/G' , then any element f of $\text{Hom}(K/G', H)$ induces an element \bar{f} of $\text{Hom}(G/G', H)$ which is trivial on the complement of K/G' in G/G' . To simplify the notation we shall identify f with the corresponding homomorphism from G into H which is induced by \bar{f} . Throughout the paper, we shall make use of this convention without any further explanation.

We give below some basic results that are needed for our investigation of the equation $\text{Aut}_c(X) \cong J$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a finite purely non-abelian group and $H \leq Z(G)$. Suppose that $G/G' = K/G' \times L/G'$.*

- (i) $\text{Aut}_c(G)$ has a subgroup A of order $|\text{Hom}(K/G', H)|$.

(ii) If $H \leq L$, $\text{Aut}_c(G)$ has a subgroup isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(K/G', H)$.

PROOF. (i) We simply set $A = \{\sigma_f | f \in \text{Hom}(K/G', H)\}$.

(ii) As H is contained in the kernel of each homomorphism in $\text{Hom}(K/G', H)$, we see that $f \circ g = 0$ for all f, g in $\text{Hom}(K/G', H)$. Hence, A is abelian and the mapping $\psi : f \mapsto \sigma_f$ is an isomorphism from $\text{Hom}(K/G', H)$ onto A , so the result follows. ■

Corollary 2.2. *Let G be a purely non-abelian group. Then $\text{Aut}_c(G)$ has an abelian subgroup isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(G/G', G' \cap Z(G))$.*

Corollary 2.3. *Let G be a finite purely non-abelian group and let A and B be central subgroups of G . Suppose that $G/G' = H/G' \times K/G'$. If $A \cap B = 1$, then*

- (i) $\text{Aut}_c(G)$ has subgroups of orders $|\text{Hom}(G/G', A)|$ and $|\text{Hom}(G/G', B)|$ intersecting trivially,
- (ii) $\text{Aut}_c(G)$ has subgroups of orders $|\text{Hom}(H/G', A)|$ and $|\text{Hom}(K/G', A)|$ intersecting trivially.

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a finite group and let $G/G' = H/G' \times K/G'$. Suppose that A and B are central subgroups of G with $A \leq H$, $B \leq K$ and $A \cap B = 1$. Set*

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \{\sigma_f | f \in \text{Hom}(H/G', A), f(x) \neq x^{-1} \text{ for every } x \in H - \{1\}\}, \\ S &= \{\sigma_f | f \in \text{Hom}(K/G', B), f(x) \neq x^{-1} \text{ for every } x \in K - \{1\}\}, \\ T &= \{\sigma_f | f \in \text{Hom}(H/G', B)\}, \\ U &= \{\sigma_f | f \in \text{Hom}(K/G', A)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

- (i) R, S, T , and U are all subgroups of $\text{Aut}_c(G)$ having mutually trivial intersections,
- (ii) T and U are abelian and $[R, S] = 1$,
- (iii) $R \cup S \leq \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}}(T) \cap \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$, where $\mathcal{A} = \text{Aut}_c(G)$.

PROOF. (i)–(ii) These are obvious.

(iii) Let $\sigma_g \in R$, $\sigma_h \in T$, and set $\tau = \sigma_g^{-1}\sigma_h\sigma_g$. We then have $K \leq \text{Ker } f_\tau$ because σ_g and σ_h fix K elementwise. Now since $\tau(x) = f_\tau(x)x = h(\sigma_g(x))x$ for all x in G , $f_\tau(x) \in B$. Thus $f_\tau \in \text{Hom}(H, B)$ and so R normalizes T . Next, we let $\sigma_g \in R$ and $\sigma_h \in U$. Since σ_h fixes H elementwise, on setting $\tau = \sigma_g\sigma_h\sigma_g^{-1}$, we find that $\tau(y) = y$ for all $y \in H$ because $\sigma_g(H) = H$. Therefore $H \leq \text{Ker } f_\tau$. Now $f_\tau(x)x = \tau(x) = g(h(\sigma_g^{-1}(x)))h(\sigma_g^{-1}(x))x$, for all x in G . It follows that $f_\tau(x) \in A$, and hence $f_\tau \in \text{Hom}(K/G', A)$, which completes the proof. ■

Remark. In Lemma 2.4 above, if $G = H \times K$, such that H is abelian and K is purely non-abelian, then $G/G' = H \times K/K'$, and hence R, S, T , and U are defined simply by substituting H and K/K' for HG'/G' and KG'/G' , respectively. In this case, if we take $A = H$ and $B = Z(K)$ then clearly $R = \text{Aut}(H)$ and $S = \text{Aut}_c(K)$.

Lemma 2.5. *With the notation and assumption of Lemma 2.4, if $(|T|, |U|) = 1$, then $[T, U] = 1$.*

PROOF. Suppose that $f \in \text{Hom}(H/G', B)$ and $g \in \text{Hom}(K/G', A)$. Let $y \in H$ and $d = o(g \circ f(yG'))$. Clearly d divides both $|H/G'|$ and $|B|$; so $d \mid |T|$. Similarly $d \mid |U|$, and hence $d = 1$. Now $f \circ g(yG') = 1 = g \circ f(yG')$. Using the same argument, we observe that $f \circ g(kG') = g \circ f(kG') = 1$, for all k in K . Therefore $f \circ g = g \circ f$ since $G = HK$. ■

We shall need the following key result in the next section.

Theorem 2.6. *If G is a finite, purely non-abelian group, then $\text{Aut}_c(G)$ is nilpotent.*

PROOF. Let p be a prime divisor of $|G|$. Write $G/G' = P/G' \times K/G'$ and $Z(G) = Q \times B$, where P/G' and Q are Sylow p -subgroups of G/G' and $Z(G)$, respectively. Clearly $Q \leq P$ and $B \leq K$. On setting $H = P$, $A = Q$ and using Lemma 2.4, we have $[R, S] = 1$. Since $|\text{Aut}_c(G)| = |\text{Hom}(P/G', Q)| |\text{Hom}(K/G', B)| = |R| |S|$ and $(|R|, |S|) = 1$, it follows that R is a normal Sylow p -subgroup of $\text{Aut}_c(G)$. ■

Remark. In the above theorem, the condition on G is sufficient but not necessary for the nilpotency of $\text{Aut}_c(G)$. For example, the non-abelian group $D_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ has a nilpotent central automorphism group of order 32. This can be easily checked by GAP or Proposition 2.9(i) below.

Lemma 2.7. *Let G be a purely non-abelian p -group and let $G/G' = \langle xG' \rangle \times K/G'$. Assume that $z \in Z(G)$ and $o(z) \mid o(xG')$.*

- (i) *The mapping $f : x^i kG' \mapsto z^i$, where $k \in K$, is a homomorphism from G/G' into $Z(G)$.*
- (ii) *The set $\{\sigma_f \mid f \in \text{Hom}(\langle xG' \rangle, \langle z \rangle)\}$ is an abelian subgroup of $\text{Aut}_c(G)$.*
- (iii) *If $zG' = x^s kG'$, where $k \in K$ and s is an integer, then $p \mid s$.*

PROOF. (i)–(ii) These are easily proved.

(iii) Suppose p does not divide s . Therefore $o(xG') = o(x^s G')$, and we have

$$o(xG') \geq o(z) \geq o(zG') \geq o(x^s G') = o(xG').$$

It follows that $o(z) = o(zG') = o(xG')$ and $\langle z \rangle \cap G' = 1$. So $G = \langle z \rangle \times K$, as $x \in \langle z \rangle K$, a contradiction. ■

The homomorphism f introduced in Lemma 2.7 above will be denoted by $f_{x,z}$ throughout the remainder of the paper.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $G = H \times K$, where $H, K \leq G$. If H is abelian and K is*

purely non-abelian, then $\pi_1\sigma|_H \in \text{Aut}(H)$ and $\pi_2\sigma|_K \in \text{Aut}_c(K)$ for all σ in $\text{Aut}_c(G)$.

PROOF. Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_c(G)$ and $k \in K$. We may write $\sigma(k) = k'h$, where $k' \in K$ and $h \in H$. Then $k^{-1}k' \in Z(K)$. On setting $\tau = \pi_2\sigma|_K$, we have $\tau \in \text{Hom}(K, K)$ and $k^{-1}\tau(k) \in Z(K)$. Now $f : k \mapsto k^{-1}\tau(k)$ defines a homomorphism from K into $Z(K)$, and hence $\tau = \sigma_f$ lies in $\text{Aut}_c(K)$. Next let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_c(G)$ and $\eta = \pi_1\sigma|_H$. Suppose that $\text{Ker}\eta \neq 1$; so $\eta(h) = 1$, for some $h \in H - \{1\}$. Therefore there is a non-trivial element k in K , such that $\sigma(h) = k$. It follows that k belongs to the kernel of $\pi_2\sigma^{-1}|_K$ contrary to the first part of the proof. ■

The following proposition is used in [8] implicitly without a proof.

Proposition 2.9. *Let G satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2.8, and let $A = H$, $B = Z(K)$. Using the notation of Lemma 2.4 we have*

- (i) $|\text{Aut}_c(G)| = |R||S||T||U|$,
- (ii) $\text{Aut}_c(G) = RSTU$.

PROOF. (i) It is easy to see that the map $\varphi : R \times S \times T \times U \rightarrow RSTU$ defined by $(\sigma_f, \sigma_g, \sigma_h, \sigma_k) \mapsto \sigma_f\sigma_g\sigma_h\sigma_k$ is one-to-one, so that $|R||S||T||U| \leq |\text{Aut}_c(G)|$. Next we consider the map $\psi : \text{Aut}_c(G) \rightarrow R \times \text{Hom}(H, Z(K)) \times \text{Hom}(K, H) \times S$ defined by $\sigma \mapsto (\pi_1\sigma|_H, \pi_2\sigma|_K, \pi_1\sigma|_K, \pi_2\sigma|_H)$, where σ_1 and σ_2 are the restrictions of σ to H and K , respectively. By Lemma 2.8, ψ is well-defined and one-to-one. Since $|U| = |\text{Hom}(K, H)|$ and $|T| = |\text{Hom}(H, Z(K))|$, we deduce that $|R||S||T||U| \geq |\text{Aut}_c(G)|$ as required.

(ii) This is obvious from (i). ■

3. The equations $\text{Aut}_c(X) \cong J$ where $J \cong Q_n, L(pq)$, or D_n

In this section, for the group $J \in \{Q_n, L(pq), D_n\}$ we produce all finite groups G such that $\text{Aut}_c(G) \cong J$.

Before proceeding further we collect some basic properties of the groups D_n and Q_n to be used in the rest of the paper. Consider the groups

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n &= \langle a, b | a^2 = b^n, a^{-1}ba = b^{-1} \rangle \quad (n \geq 2), \\ D_n &= \langle a, b | a^2 = b^n = (ab)^2 = 1 \rangle \quad (n > 2), \end{aligned}$$

then we have the following elementary lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *We have:*

- (i) Q_n has a single element of order 2, and hence any two 2-subgroups of Q_n have a non-trivial intersection;
- (ii) any subgroup of Q_n containing a Sylow 2-subgroup of Q_n is either cyclic or dicyclic;
- (iii) if x is an involution in D_n , then x is central if and only if $|\mathcal{C}_{D_n}(x)| > 4$;

- (iv) any two 2-subgroups of D_n with orders at least 4 have non-trivial intersection;
- (v) D_n has a non-trivial direct factor if and only if n is even and $n/2$ is odd; in this case $D_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_{n/2}$; and
- (vi) if $n \neq 4$ then each non-cyclic abelian subgroup of D_n is non-normal elementary abelian of order 4.

We now begin with Q_n , and show that Q_n cannot occur as the central automorphism group of a finite group.

Theorem 3.2. *There is no finite group G such that $\text{Aut}_c(G) \cong Q_n$.*

PROOF. It is convenient to consider three cases:

(a) G is abelian.

So $\text{Aut}_c(G) = \text{Aut}(G)$, and there is no finite group G with $\text{Aut}(G) \cong Q_n$ by [4, theorem 4].

(b) G is purely non-abelian.

According to Theorem 2.6 above, $\text{Aut}_c(G)$ is nilpotent and hence n is a power of 2. Now by Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 3.1(i), it follows that G/G' and $Z(G)$ have cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups. Hence $\text{Aut}_c(G)$ is abelian by Lemma 2.7(ii), which is impossible.

(c) G is neither abelian nor purely non-abelian.

We may write $G = H \times K$, where H is abelian and K is purely non-abelian. Using the notation of Proposition 2.9, $|\text{Aut}_c(G)| = |R||S||T||U|$, where by the remark after Lemma 2.4, $R = \text{Aut}(H)$ and $S = \text{Aut}_c(K)$. Since R, S, T , and U have mutually trivial intersections, one of them contains a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\text{Aut}_c(G) (\cong Q_n)$. First, suppose that $|R|$ is even. Now R must be abelian by the case (a) and consequently $\text{Aut}(H) = R \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ by Lemma 3.1(ii), which is a contradiction. Next, assume that 2 divides either $|T|$ or $|U|$. Since U and T are abelian, H must have an element of order 4 by Lemma 3.1(ii), from which we conclude that $|R|$ is even, again a contradiction. Finally, if $|S|$ is even then $|H|$ is odd since $|T| = |\text{Hom}(H, Z(K))|$. Hence $R = \text{Aut}(H)$ has an element of order 2, which is impossible. ■

We now study the occurrence of the group $L(pq)$ in the central automorphism group of finite groups.

Proposition 3.3. *Let G be a finite group having no non-trivial direct factor N such that $\text{Aut}_c(G) \cong \text{Aut}_c(G/N)$. If $\text{Aut}_c(G) \cong L(pq)$, then G is isomorphic to either $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times K$, where K satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) K is a purely non-abelian group with $\text{Aut}_c(K) = 1$,
- (ii) K/K' and $Z(K)$ are of odd order and one of them has a cyclic Sylow 3-subgroup.

PROOF. We first observe, by Theorem 2.6, that G is not purely non-abelian.

Suppose that G is abelian. In view of [4, theorem 3], $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and hence $\text{Aut}(G) \cong S_3$. Now we assume that G is neither abelian nor purely non-abelian. We may write $G = H \times K$, with H and K being abelian and purely non-abelian, respectively. By Lemma 2.4, $\text{Aut}_c(H) \times \text{Aut}_c(K) \leq L(pq)$. However, any non-trivial subgroup of $L(pq)$ is cyclic, so that $\text{Aut}(H) = 1$ or $\text{Aut}_c(K) = 1$. By the hypothesis, $\text{Aut}(H) \not\cong L(pq)$. Therefore $\text{Aut}(H)$ is either trivial or cyclic of prime order. According to [4, theorem 1(b)], H is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4$, or \mathbb{Z}_6 . If $H \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, then $p = 3$ and $|\text{Hom}(H, Z(K))| = 3$ or $|\text{Hom}(K/K', H)| = 3$. Consequently, $\text{Aut}_c(G) \cong S_3$ and hence $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_6 \times K$, where $\text{Aut}_c(K) = 1$ and $K/K', Z(K)$ have cyclic Sylow 3-subgroups, and either $(|Z(K)|, 6) = 3$ or $(|K/K'|, 6) = 3$. Now we suppose that $|H| = 2$. Thus $|\text{Hom}(H, Z(K))||\text{Hom}(K/K', H)| = 2$. By Lemma 2.4 (iii), $\text{Aut}_c(G)$ has a normal subgroup of order 2 which is central; this is again a contradiction. ■

Using the above proposition we arrive at the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4. *If $(p, q) \neq (3, 2)$, then there is no finite group G such that $\text{Aut}_c(G) \cong L(pq)$.*

The following discussion is devoted to the equation $\text{Aut}_c(X) \cong D_n$, where $n > 2$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let G be a finite abelian group such that $\text{Aut}(G) \cong D_n$. Then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\text{Aut}(G) \cong S_3$ or $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$, $\text{Aut}(G) \cong D_4$, or $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$, $\text{Aut}(G) \cong D_6$.*

PROOF. We distinguish two cases:

(a) G is a p -group.

Let $G = H_1 \times \dots \times H_t$ be a direct decomposition of G into cyclic factors. Clearly $t \geq 2$. We first suppose that $p > 2$. Then $\text{Aut}(H_i)$ has an element of order 2 for all i . Suppose σ is an element of order 2 in some $\text{Aut}(H_i)$ which is non-central in D_n . We then have $\Pi \text{Aut}(H_i) \leq C_{D_n}(\sigma)$. As $|C_{D_n}(\sigma)| \leq 4$ by Lemma 3.1(iii), we find that $t = 2$ and $H_1 = H_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$. Thus $G = \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $\text{Aut}(G) \cong GL(2, 3)$, a contradiction. Next, we assume that $p = 2$ and $G = H \times K$, where H and K are non-trivial. By Lemma 2.4, we see that $\text{Aut}(G)$ has subgroups of orders $|\text{Hom}(H, K)|$ and $|\text{Hom}(K, H)|$, which have trivial intersection. Thus $|\text{Hom}(H, K)| = |\text{Hom}(K, H)| = 2$ by Lemma 3.1(iv). Let $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^j}$. If $j \geq 3$ then on setting $A = H$, $B = K$ and using the notation of Lemma 2.4, $U \triangleleft SU$, $T \triangleleft ST$. Since $|U| = |T| = 2$, we have $SU \leq \mathcal{C}(U)$, $ST \leq \mathcal{C}(T)$ and hence U, T are central by Lemma 3.1(iii); but this is impossible. Whence $j \leq 2$ and $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ or $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$. Now

$$\text{Aut}(G) \cong \begin{cases} S_3 & \text{if } G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \\ D_4 & \text{if } G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4. \end{cases}$$

(b) G is not a p -group.

In this case $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ by Lemma 3.1(v) and part (a) of Lemma 3.5. Now evidently $\text{Aut}_c(G) \cong D_6$. ■

Proposition 3.6. *Let G be a finite purely non-abelian group such that $\text{Aut}_c(G) \cong D_n$. Then $n = 4$ and either $(G/G')_2 = \langle aG' \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$, $Z(G)_2 = \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$, where $xG' = a^2G'$, or $(G/G')_2 = \langle aG' \rangle \times \langle bG' \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $Z(G)_2 = \langle y \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$, where $yG' = a^r bG'$ for some even integer r .*

PROOF. By Theorem 2.6, $\text{Aut}_c(G)$ is nilpotent and hence n is a power of 2. Let H/G' and K be Sylow 2-subgroups of G/G' and $Z(G)$ respectively. Clearly $|\text{Aut}_c(G)| = |\text{Hom}(H/G', K)|$. It follows, by Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 3.1(iv), that H/G' is cyclic and $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$, or K is cyclic and $H/G' \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$. We give a proof for the former case. The latter case is treated similarly. Suppose that $H/G' = \langle aG' \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^t}$ and $K = \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$. We choose an element z in $\langle y \rangle$ such that $o(z) = (o(y), o(aG'))$. Assume that $zG' = a^r G'$ and $xG' = a^{2^{t-1}s} G'$. By Lemma 2.7(iii), r is even. Taking $f = f_{a,x}$ and $g = f_{a,z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ g)(aG') &= f(zG') = f(aG')^r = 1, \\ (g \circ f)(aG') &= g(xG') = g(a^{2^{t-1}s} G') = z^{2^{t-1}s}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \circ g \neq g \circ f$, we must have $z^{2^{t-1}s} \neq 1$. It follows that s is odd, $t \leq m$, and $o(z) = o(aG') = 2^t$. Now if $t \geq 3$, then by considering $h_i = f_{a,z^{2^i}}$, where $1 \leq i \leq 2^{t-1}$, we get $f \circ h_i(aG') = f(z^{2^i} G') = (z^{2^{t-1}s})^{2^i} = 1$ and $h_i \circ f(aG') = h_i(a^r G') = 1$. Consequently, $[\sigma_f, \sigma_{h_i}] = 1$ and $\sigma_f \in Z(D_n)$ by Lemma 3.1(iii). We now write $D_n \cong \langle \sigma_f \rangle \times T$, where $T = \{\sigma_h | h \in \text{Hom}(H/G', \langle y \rangle)\}$. By Lemma 2.7(ii), T is abelian, which is a contradiction. Hence $t \leq 2$ and $H/G' \cong \langle aG' \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$, $K \cong \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle$, where $o(y) \geq 4$. ■

Proposition 3.7. *Let G be a non-abelian finite group having no non-trivial direct factor N such that $\text{Aut}_c(G) \cong \text{Aut}_c(G/N)$. If $\text{Aut}_c(G) \cong D_n$ and G has a non-trivial abelian direct factor, then G is isomorphic to one of the following groups:*

- (i) $\mathbb{Z}_{12} \times K$, where the Sylow 3-subgroups of K/K' and $Z(K)$ are cyclic, $(|Z(K)|, |K/K'|) = 1$ and $|Z(K)||K/K'|$, being odd, is divisible by 3. In this case $n = 6$.
- (ii) $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times K$, where $\text{Aut}_c(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and K is a purely non-abelian group. In this case $n = 4$.
- (iii) $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times K$, where $\text{Aut}_c(K) = 1$, $(6, |Z(K)||K/K'|) = 3$ and the Sylow 3-subgroups of K/K' and $Z(K)$ are cyclic. In this case $n = 3$.
- (iv) $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times K$, where K is a purely non-abelian group with $\text{Aut}_c(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, $(3, |Z(K)||K/K'|) = 3$ and the Sylow 3-subgroups of K/K' and $Z(K)$ are cyclic. In this case $n = 6$.

PROOF. Let $G = H \times K$ with H and K being abelian and purely non-abelian, respectively. We use the notation of the remark after Lemma 2.4, and distinguish three cases:

- (a) $\text{Aut}(H)$ is not abelian.

Since any non-abelian subgroup of D_n is dihedral, $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $H = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ or $H = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ by Lemma 3.5. In either case, $\text{Aut}(H)$ has two distinct elements of order 2 and hence $\text{Aut}(H)$ has a non-central element σ of order 2. However, in view of Lemma 2.4(ii), $\text{Aut}_c(K) \leq \mathcal{C}_{D_n}(\text{Aut}(H)) \leq \mathcal{C}_{D_n}(\sigma)$, which implies that $|\text{Aut}_c(K)| \leq 2$. If $|\text{Aut}_c(K)|$ is even, then T and U both contain subgroups of order 4 with a non-trivial intersection, which is impossible. It follows that $S = \text{Aut}_c(K) = 1$ and hence, by Lemma 2.5, $[T, U] = 1$. Now according to Lemma 2.4(iii), $R = \text{Aut}(H) \leq \mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{N}(U)$. So $TU \triangleleft RTUS = \text{Aut}_c(G)$. If $TU = 1$ then $\text{Aut}_c(G) = \text{Aut}(H) = \text{Aut}_c(G/K)$, contradicting the hypothesis. Now if $|T||U|$ is even, then on setting $M = \{\tau \in TU \mid \tau^2 = 1\}$, we conclude that $|M| = 4$ by Lemma 3.1(vi). On the other hand, $TU \triangleleft D_n$ and M is a characteristic subgroup of TU . Thus $M \triangleleft D_n$, which is impossible by Lemma 3.1(vi). Therefore $|TU| = 3$, and $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$, from which we conclude that $\text{Aut}_c(G)$ has at least four elements of order 3, again a contradiction.

(b) $\text{Aut}(H)$ is non-cyclic abelian.

As $\text{Aut}(H) \leq D_n$, we have $\text{Aut}(H) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and in view of [4], H is either isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_{12} or \mathbb{Z}_8 . We let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(H)$ be a non-central involution in $\text{Aut}_c(G)$. We then have $\mathcal{C}_{D_n}(\sigma) = \text{Aut}(H)$ by Lemma 3.1(iii). Now, since $\text{Aut}_c(K) \leq \mathcal{C}_{D_n}(\sigma)$, we see that $|\text{Aut}_c(K)| = 1$. Again if $|TU|$ is even, then by an argument similar to the one given in the first case, $M \triangleleft D_n$, where M is defined as before. By Lemma 3.1(vi), $|M| = 2$ and consequently $M \leq Z(D_n)$. Hence $M \times \text{Aut}(H) \leq D_n$ which is a contradiction. Hence $|TU|$ is odd and we have $|TU| = 3$, $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_{12}$, and $\text{Aut}_c(G) \cong D_6$. Hence the Sylow 3-subgroups of K/K' and $Z(K)$ are cyclic, $(|Z(K)|, |K/K'|) = 1$, and $|Z(K)||K/K'|$ is odd.

(c) $\text{Aut}(H)$ is cyclic.

In this case, if $|\text{Aut}(H)| > 2$ and σ is an element of order 2 in $\text{Aut}(H)$, then σ lies in the centre of D_n . Since $T\langle\sigma\rangle$ and $U\langle\sigma\rangle$ are abelian, T and U contain at most one element of order 2. Then $|T||U|$ is odd; for otherwise the set $T \cup S$ would contain a central element of order 2, since $T \triangleleft \text{Aut}(H)T$ and $U \triangleleft \text{Aut}(H)U$, which is a contradiction. On the other hand, if τ is an element of order 2 in $\text{Aut}_c(K)$, then $\text{Aut}(H) \leq \mathcal{C}_{D_n}(\tau)$ and hence $\tau \in Z(D_n)$, again a contradiction. Therefore $|\text{Aut}_c(K)|$ is odd and a Sylow 2-subgroup of D_n is contained in $\text{Aut}(H)$, which is impossible. So $\text{Aut}(H)$ is either trivial or of order 2. If $\text{Aut}(H) = 1$, then $|\text{Aut}_c(K)| \leq 2$. To see this, we observe that if $|\text{Aut}_c(K)| > 2$, then by Lemma 3.1 (iv),(vi), $|T| \leq 2$ and $|U| \leq 2$. Arguing as above, $T \leq Z(D_n)$ and $U \leq Z(D_n)$, and accordingly $\text{Aut}_c(G) = T \times U \times \text{Aut}_c(K)$, which is again a contradiction, using Proposition 3.6 and Lemma 3.1(v). We therefore have $|\text{Aut}_c(K)| = 2$, $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, $(|K/K'|, |Z(K)|) = 2$, and the Sylow 2-subgroups of K/K' and $Z(K)$ are cyclic. In this case $\text{Aut}_c(G) = D_4$. Next we consider the case when $\text{Aut}(H) = \langle\sigma\rangle$, where σ is an element of order 2. If $|\text{Aut}_c(K)| > 2$, then σ is central and, as above, $|TU|$ is odd. Therefore $TU \triangleleft \text{Aut}_c(G) (\cong D_n)$, and so $\text{Aut}_c(G) \cong \langle\sigma\rangle \times (TU\text{Aut}_c(K))$. It follows that $|\text{Aut}_c(K)|$ is even and hence $\text{Aut}_c(K)$ has a central element of order 2 by Theorem 2.6, which is impossible. If $|\text{Aut}_c(K)| = 2$, then $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $K/K', Z(K)$ have cyclic Sylow 3-subgroups and $(3, |K/K'||Z(K)|) = 3$. In this case $\text{Aut}_c(G) \cong D_6$. Now, suppose that $\text{Aut}_c(K) = 1$. Hence $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$

and K/K' , $Z(K)$ have cyclic Sylow 3-subgroups and $(6, |Z(K)||K/K'|) = 3$, $(|K/K'|, |Z(K)|) = 1$. In this case $\text{Aut}_c(G) \cong S_3$. ■

From the above results we arrive at the following conclusion:

Theorem 3.8. *There is no finite group G such that $\text{Aut}_c(G) \cong D_n$, where $n \geq 5$ and $n \neq 6$.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the referee for his or her valuable suggestions and comments. The paper was revised according to those suggestions. The work of Ali-Reza Jamali was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 84200028).

REFERENCES

- [1] J.E. Adney and T. Yen, Automorphisms of a p -group, *Illinois Journal of Mathematics* **9** (1965), 137–43.
- [2] M.J. Curran and D.J. McCaughan, Central automorphisms of finite groups, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society* **34** (1986), 191–8.
- [3] M.J. Curran and D.J. McCaughan, Central automorphisms that are almost inner, *Communications in Algebra* **29**(5) (2001), 2081–7.
- [4] D. Flannery and D. MacHale, Some finite groups which are rarely automorphism groups-I, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy* **81A** (1981), 209–15.
- [5] M.H. Jafari, Elementary abelian p -groups as central automorphism groups, *Communications in Algebra* **34**(2) (2006), 601–7.
- [6] A.R. Jamali and H. Mousavi, On the central automorphism groups of finite p -groups, *Algebra Colloquium* **9**:1 (2002), 7–14.
- [7] D. Gorenstein, *Finite groups*, Harper and Row, New York, 1968.
- [8] P.R. Sanders, The central automorphisms of a finite group, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* **44** (1969), 225–8.