

GROUPS WITH CONJUGATE-PERMUTABLE CONDITIONS

BY

SHIRONG LI*

and

ZHONGCHUAN MENG

Department of Mathematics, Guangxi University,
Nanning, Guangxi 530004, People's Republic of China

[Accepted 8 January 2007. Published 30 July 2007.]

ABSTRACT

For a group G , a subgroup H of G is said to be conjugate permutable if $HH^x = H^xH$ for any $x \in G$. This concept was introduced by Foguel. In this note, we call a subgroup H self-conjugate permutable in G if $HH^x = H^xH$ implies $H^x = H$. This is the dual of the concept of conjugate permutable subgroups. A C1-group is a group all of whose cyclic subgroups are self-conjugate permutable; and a C2-group is a group all of whose cyclic subgroups are conjugate permutable or self-conjugate permutable. Some properties of conjugate permutable subgroups and self-conjugate permutable subgroups for a any group are obtained, and the structure of finite C1 and C2-groups are described.

1. Introduction

In [3], Foguel introduced the following concept, which was further studied in [4] and [5].

Definition 1.1. [3] Let G be a group. A subgroup H of G is called conjugate permutable in G , denoted by $H <_{C-P} G$, if $HH^x = H^xH$ for all $x \in G$.

In the same paper, Foguel showed that for a finite group any conjugate permutable subgroup is subnormal [3, corollary 1.1]. This is an interesting result. In [5], the finite groups all of whose subgroups are conjugate permutable subgroups (ECP-groups) was investigated. In particular, the finite ECP-groups are nilpotent [5, theorem 3.5] and all finite ECP- p -groups ($p \geq 5$) are regular [5, theorem 3.12].

In this note, we introduce the following dual of conjugate permutable subgroups:

Definition 1.2. Let G be a group and H a subgroup of G . We call H self-conjugate permutable in G , if $HH^x = H^xH$ implies that $H^x = H$.

*Corresponding author, e-mail: shirong@gxu.edu.cn

Obviously, a subgroup N of G is normal if and only if N is both conjugate permutable and self-conjugate permutable in G . Also, it is easy to see that for a finite group G , all of its maximal subgroups and Hall subgroups are self conjugate permutable. In this paper we give some new results on conjugate permutable subgroups and consider the following conditions:

C1: every cyclic subgroup H of G is self-conjugate permutable (C1-group);
 C2: every cyclic subgroup of G is either conjugate permutable or self-conjugate permutable (C2-group).

2. Conjugate permutable subgroups

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be any group and let H be a conjugate permutable subgroup of G . Then HH^x is also a conjugate permutable subgroup for all $x \in G$.*

PROOF. The result, which is lemma 1.1 in [3], is obvious. ■

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a group satisfying the maximal condition and the minimal condition on subgroups. Then every conjugate permutable subgroup of G is subnormal in G .*

PROOF. Let H be a conjugate permutable subgroup of G . If H is normal in G , then nothing needs to be shown. Therefore assume that H is not normal in G . Then there exists $x_1 \in G$ such that $H^{x_1} \neq H$. Write $K_1 = HH^{x_1}$. By Lemma 2.1, K_1 is conjugate permutable in G and $H < K_1$. If H is non-normal in K_1 , then there exists $x_2 \in K_1$ such that $H^{x_2} \neq H$. Let $K_2 = HH^{x_2}$. Then K_2 is a conjugate permutable subgroup of G and $K_2 < K_1$. Thus, we get $K_1 > K_2 > H$. If $H < HH^y$ always implies that H is non-normal in HH^y , then repeating the above argument, we can find an infinite chain of subgroups:

$$G > K_1 > K_2 > \dots > K_r > \dots > H.$$

This is contrary to the hypothesis that G satisfies the minimal condition on subgroups. Hence, without loss of generality, we can require $H \triangleleft K_1$. Because K_1 is also conjugate permutable in G , applying the above conclusion to K_1 , we know that if K_1 is non-normal in G , then there is $x_2 \in G$ such that $K_1 < K_1K_1^{x_2}$ and $K_1 \triangleleft K_1K_1^{x_2}$. Write $K_2 = K_1K_1^{x_2}$. Since G satisfies the maximal condition on subgroups, we have a finite chain of subgroups:

$$H = K_0 \triangleleft K_1 \triangleleft K_2 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft K_s \triangleleft G,$$

such that $K_1 = HH^{x_1}$, $K_i = K_{i-1}K_{i-1}^{x_i}$ and $K_{x_i} < K_{i+1}$ for all i . This shows that H is subnormal in G . ■

Corollary 2.3. *Let G be as in Theorem 2.2. Assume that H is a conjugate permutable subgroup of G . Then the following statements are true:*

- (1) *If H is solvable, then H^G , the normal closure of H in G , is solvable;*
- (2) *If H is a p -group (p a prime), then H^G is also a p -group;*

(3) If H is a Sylow p -subgroup of G , then H is normal in G .

PROOF. The case when H is normal in G is trivial. Suppose that H is not normal. Then from the proof of Theorem 2.2 there exists a finite chain of subgroups of G : $H = K_0 \triangleleft K_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft K_r \triangleleft G$, where $K_i = K_{i-1}K_{i-1}^{x_i}$ ($i > 0$) for some $x_i \in G$, $K_{i-1} < K_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, and all K_i are conjugate permutable in G by Lemma 2.1. If H is solvable, then $K_1/H \cong H^{x_1}/H \cap H^{x_1}$ is solvable, and hence K_1 is solvable. By the induction, we see that K_r is solvable and so is H^G . Thus (1) holds. If H is a p -group, then H^{x_1} is also a p -group. For any $g \in K_1 = HH^{x_1}$, we have $g = ab$ for some $a \in H$ and $b \in H^{x_1}$. Then ab is a p -element (modulo H), and since H is a p -group, it follows that ab is a p -element and hence $g = ab$ is a p -element. That is, K_1 is a p -group. By induction, K_r is a p -group and (2) holds. If H is a Sylow p -subgroup, then H is a maximal p -subgroup. But K_1 is a p -group that contains H properly. This is a contradiction, which completes the proof. ■

Similarly, we have:

Theorem 2.4. *Let G be any group and let H be a subgroup of G of finite index. Assume that H is conjugate permutable in G . Then H is subnormal in G and all conclusions of Corollary 2.3 hold.*

Corollary 2.5 ([3, corollary 1.1]). *Let G be a finite group. If $H <_{C-P} G$, then H is subnormal in G .*

Corollary 2.6. *Let G be a finite group and let H be a nilpotent subgroup. If H is conjugate permutable in G , then $H \leq F(G)$, the Fitting subgroup of G .*

PROOF. This follows from Corollary 2.5. ■

Theorem 2.7. *Let G be an ECP-group satisfying the minimal condition on subgroups. Then G is locally nilpotent.*

PROOF. For any $H < G$, if H is not normal in G , then from the proof of Theorem 2.2, we know that there is $x \in G$ such that $H \triangleleft HH^x$ and $H < HH^x$. So G satisfies the normalizer condition. By [6, 12.2.2], G is locally nilpotent. ■

3. The structures of finite C1- and C2-groups

Lemma 3.1. *Both C1- and C2-groups are closed under taking subgroups.*

PROOF. Assume that G is a C1-group and H a subgroup of G . For any cyclic subgroup A of H and $x \in H$, if AA^x is a subgroup of H , then $x \in N_G(A)$. Thus $x \in H \cap N_G(A) = N_H(A)$, as desired. The proof of C2-groups is similar. ■

The following lemma is an essential fact.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be any group and let H be a subgroup of G . If H is subnormal of defect 2 in a group G (meaning $H \triangleleft K \triangleleft G$), then H permutes with its conjugates.*

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a nilpotent group and let H be a self-conjugate permutable subgroup of G . Then H is normal in G .*

PROOF. If $N_G(H) < G$, then there exists a subgroup K such that $N_G(H) < K$ and $N_G(H)$ is normal in K . By Lemma 3.2, H is conjugate permutable. Hence $H \trianglelefteq K$, which is a contradiction. ■

A Hamiltonian group is a finite non-abelian group all of whose subgroups are normal. From Lemma 3.3, we obtain a characterization of a Hamiltonian group.

Corollary 3.4. *Let G be a finite, non-abelian, nilpotent group. Then G is a C2-group if and only if G is a Hamiltonian group.*

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be a finite C1-group. Then the following conclusions hold:*

- (1) G is super-solvable;
- (2) Every Sylow subgroup of G of odd order is abelian, and a Sylow 2-subgroup of G is either abelian or a Hamiltonian group;
- (3) G' is abelian, hence G is meta-abelian.

PROOF. Assume that (1) is not true and let G be a counter-example of minimal order. By Lemma 3.1, the condition is inherited by subgroups. So G is a minimal non-super-solvable group, a non-super-solvable group all of whose proper subgroups are super-solvable. By Doerk's theorem [1], G has a normal Sylow p -subgroup P , such that $P/\Phi(P)$ is a chief factor of G and $\Phi(P) \leq Z(P)$. Let A be a cyclic subgroup of P , such that $A \not\leq \Phi(P)$. By Lemma 3.3, A is normal in P . Since P is a normal subgroup of G , apply Lemma 3.2 to see that A is conjugate permutable in G . On the other hand, by hypothesis that A is self-conjugate permutable in G , so $A \triangleleft G$. Consequently, $P/\Phi(P)$ is cyclic of order p , and hence G is super-solvable, which is a contradiction. Thus (1) holds.

As the condition is inherited by subgroups, (2) follows from Corollary 3.4.

By conclusion (1), G is super-solvable, so G' is nilpotent [2, VI, 9.1]. Then conclusion (2) shows that G' is either abelian or Hamiltonian. We thus know that every subgroup of G' is normal in G' . It follows by Lemma 3.2 that any subgroup of G' , particularly every cyclic subgroup A , is conjugate permutable in G . Since G is a C1-group, we have $A \triangleleft G$. Suppose that G' is Hamiltonian. Then G' contains Q_8 . Let C be a cyclic subgroup of order 4 of Q_8 , the quaternion group of order 8. Then C is normal in G . In particular, $G/C_G(C)$ is abelian. Hence $G' \leq C_G(C)$. But then, Q_8 centralizes C and hence Q_8 would be abelian, which is absurd. Hence we can conclude that G' is abelian. The proof of the theorem is now complete. ■

Theorem 3.6. *Let G be a finite C2-group and let $F(G)$ denote the Fitting subgroup of G . Then the following hold:*

- (1) $G/F(G)$ is super-solvable and all its Sylow subgroups are either abelian or Hamiltonian;
- (2) $F(G)$ is a CCP-group (i.e., each cyclic subgroup of $F(G)$ is conjugate permutable).

PROOF. By Lemma 3.1, the condition of the theorem is inherited by subgroups. We first show that G is solvable. We argue by induction on the order of G . If G is 2-nilpotent, let H be the normal 2-complement; then H is solvable by induction, and hence G is solvable. Thus, we may assume that $G/O_2(G)$ is not 2-nilpotent. Then we can choose a non-2-nilpotent subgroup $H/O_2(G)$ with the smallest possible order. Then every proper subgroup of $H/O_2(G)$ is 2-nilpotent. By a theorem of Itô, see [2, IV, 5.4], $H/O_2(G)$ is a minimal, non-nilpotent group with a normal Sylow 2-subgroup. Take $K \leq H$ minimal satisfying $H = O_2(G)K$. Write $N = O_2(G) \cap K$. Then $N \leq \Phi(K)$ and $K/N \cong H/O_2(G)$. So K/N is a minimal, non-2-nilpotent group. Thus we have $K = PQ$, where P is the normal Sylow 2-subgroup and Q is a Sylow q -subgroup, q an odd prime. Let A be any cyclic subgroup of P such that $A \not\leq N$. If A is conjugate permutable in G , Corollary 2.6 indicates that $A \leq O_2(G)$. Consequently, $A \leq N$, which is a contradiction. We thus deduce that A is not conjugate permutable for all such A . By hypothesis, we have that A is self-conjugate permutable in G . It follows from Lemma 3.1 that A is self-conjugate permutable in P . By Lemma 3.3, A is normal in P . Because $P \triangleleft K$, by Lemma 3.2 we have that A is conjugate permutable in K . So $A \triangleleft K$. Thus, we know that all such A are normal in K . By the structure of a Schmidt group [6, Theorem 9.19], K/N is of order $2q^n$ and hence K/N is 2-nilpotent, which is a contradiction. The solvability of G is now proved.

We now claim that $G/F(G)$ is super-solvable. Let U/N be any chief factor of G such that $F(G) \leq N$. Because we have shown that G is solvable, U/N is an elementary abelian p -group for some prime p . Assume that $|U/N| = p^n, n \geq 2$. For any fixed $x \in G$, let $H = U\langle x \rangle$. Choose a subgroup K of H such that $K = NK$ and K is of the smallest possible order. Let $N_0 = N \cap K$. Then $N_0 \leq \Phi(K)$ and $K/N_0 \cong H/N$. Thus U/N is a homomorphic image of K . Let P be the inverse image of U/N in K . Then P is normal in K and $P/N_0 \cong U/N$. So P/N_0 is a normal p -subgroup of K/N_0 . Notice that $N_0 \leq \Phi(K)$ and apply [6, theorem 3.5], we have that P is nilpotent. Let A be any cyclic subgroup of P such that $A \not\leq N_0$. If A is conjugate permutable in G , by Lemma 2.6, $A \leq F(G)$ and hence $A \leq N_0$, which is a contradiction. Now by hypothesis, A is self-conjugate permutable in G , particularly in P . By Lemma 3.3, A is normal in P . Because $P \triangleleft K$, Lemma 3.2 indicates that A is normal in K . That is, every subgroup of P/N_0 is normal in K/N_0 . It follows that every subgroup of U/N is normal in H/N . That is, every element x of G normalizes every subgroup of U/N . Consequently, U/N is cyclic, which is a contradiction. Thus, we can conclude that $F(G)$ is super-solvable.

Let P be a Sylow subgroup of G . For any cyclic subgroup C of P that is not contained in $P \cap F(G)$. Then C is not subnormal in G . Apply Theorem 1.6 to see that C is not conjugate permutable in G . Thus, C is self-conjugate permutable in G and hence in P . By Lemma 3.3, C is normal in P . Consequently every cyclic

subgroup of $PF(G)/F(G)$ is normal in $PF(G)/F(G)$, so $PF(G)/F(G)$ is either abelian or a Hamiltonian group. Conclusion (1) is therefore proved.

We now show conclusion (2). Write $F = F(G)$. If some cyclic subgroup C of F is not conjugate permutable in F , then C is self-conjugate permutable in G by hypothesis, and hence in F . Thus, from Lemma 3.3 we have $N_F(C) = F$. Consequently, C is normal in F ; in particular C is conjugate permutable in F . This is a contradiction. The proof is now complete. ■

Remark. We give two examples of C1-groups and C2-groups without proofs.

- (1) The finite groups with all Sylow subgroups cyclic are C1-groups.
- (2) The finite non-abelian groups with all proper subgroups abelian are C2-groups.

Theorem 3.7. *Let G be a finite group and let p be the smallest prime divisor of $|G|$. Assume that every cyclic subgroup of G of order p is self-conjugate permutable, and if $p = 2$, in addition, each cyclic subgroup of G of order 4 is also self-conjugate permutable. Then G is p -nilpotent.*

PROOF. It is clear that the condition is inherited by subgroups. If G is a counter-example of minimal order, then G is a minimal non- p -nilpotent group. By Itô's theorem [2, IV, 5.4], $G = PQ$ with normal Sylow p -subgroup P , the exponent of P is p if p is odd, and at most 4 if $p = 2$. If $p > 2$, let A be any cyclic subgroup of P of order p . If $p = 2$, let A be any cyclic subgroup of P of order 2 or 4. By hypothesis, A is self-conjugate permutable in G , and hence in P . So A is normal in P by Lemma 3.3. Because P is normal in G , it follows by Lemma 3.2 that A is conjugate permutable in G . Therefore A is normal in G . Consequently, P is cyclic and thus G is p -nilpotent, which is a contradiction. ■

We notice that in both simple groups A_5 and $PSL(2, 7)$, every subgroup of order ≥ 3 is self-conjugate permutable. This information should be valuable for characterizing some finite simple groups by means of self-conjugate permutable subgroups. In particular we have the following:

Question. What can be said about the finite simple groups whose cyclic subgroups of order ≥ 3 are self-conjugate permutable?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China(No.10161001) and the Natural Science Foundation of Guangxi autonomous region(0249001). The authors thank the referee for his/her useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Doerk, Minimal nicht Überauflösbare endliche Gruppen, *Mathematisches Zeitschrift* **91** (1996), 198–205
- [2] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*. Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1967.

- [3] T. Foguel, Conjugate permutable subgroups, *Journal of Algebra* **191** (1997), 235–9.
- [4] T. Foguel, Groups with all cyclic subgroups are conjugate permutable groups, *Journal of Group Theory* **2** (1999), 47–51.
- [5] M. Xu and Q. Zhang, On conjugate permutable subgroups of a finite group, *Algebra Colloquium* **12** (2005), 669–76.
- [6] D.J.S. Robinson, 1982 *A course in the theory of groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.