

OSCILLATORY INTEGRALS FOR BOCHNER–RIESZ OPERATORS WITH CRITICAL ORDER

LIN TANG

LMAM, School of Mathematics and Science, Peking University, Beijing 100871,
People's Republic of China

[Accepted 12 September 2007. Published 28 October 2008.]

ABSTRACT

We establish the L^p boundedness for oscillatory integrals for Bochner–Riesz operators with critical order provided that $1 < p < \infty$.

1. Introduction

Let $n \geq 2$ denote the dimension of the Euclidean space. For f defined on \mathbb{R}^n , \hat{f} denotes the Fourier transform of f , and f^\vee denotes the inverse Fourier transform of f .

We define the Bochner–Riesz operator

$$(B_\tau^\delta f)^\wedge(\xi) = (1 - \tau^2|\xi|^2)_+^\delta \hat{f}(\xi).$$

Let

$$\varphi(x) = [(1 - |\xi|^2)_+^\delta]^\vee(x), \quad \delta > 0, \quad (a)_+ = \max(a, 0).$$

Then, the Bochner–Riesz operator $B_\tau^\delta f$ can be rewritten as

$$B_\tau^\delta f(x) = (f * \varphi_\tau)(x),$$

where $\varphi_\tau(x) = \tau^{-n} \varphi(\frac{x}{\tau})$. In particular, we say that $B_\tau^\delta f$ is a Bochner–Riesz operator with critical order when $\delta = \frac{n-1}{2}$, that is,

$$B_{\tau^2}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} f(x) = (f * \phi_\tau)(x), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\phi(x) = [(1 - |\xi|^2)_+^{\frac{n-1}{2}}]^\vee(x)$.

The Bochner–Riesz operators have been studied by many [see 4; 5 and 6, for example]. In particular, E.M. Stein [6] proved L^p boundedness for Bochner–Riesz operators with critical order for $1 < p < \infty$. Then, X. Shi and Q. Sun [5] obtained weighted L^p boundedness for Bochner–Riesz operators with critical order for $1 < p < \infty$.

E-mail: tanglin@math.pku.edu.cn

doi:10.3318/PRIA.2008.108.1.47

Cite as follows: L. Tang, Oscillatory integrals for Bochner–Riesz operator with critical order, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy* **108A** (2008), 47–55; doi:10.3318/PRIA.2008.108.1.47.

Mathematical Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy, **108A** (1), 47–55 (2008) © Royal Irish Academy

It is well known that in 1987, Ricci and Stein [4] proved that a class of oscillatory singular integral operator with polynomial phases and smooth kernel is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In 1992, Lu and Zhang [3] extended the above results to the case of rough kernel; see also [2]. Naturally, it is an interesting question whether the oscillatory integrals for Bochner–Riesz operators with critical order are L^p bounded for $1 < p < \infty$.

In this note, we will answer the question. More precisely, we have the following results.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $p(x, y)$ be a real valued polynomial, and let ϕ_τ be the same as (1.1), the oscillatory integral for Bochner–Riesz operators with critical order defined by*

$$B_\tau f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ip(x,y)} \phi_\tau(x-y) f(y) dy.$$

Then for $1 < p < \infty$

$$\|B_\tau f\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p,$$

where C is independent of the coefficients of $p(x, y)$, τ and f , but depends on the degree of $p(x, y)$.

Furthermore, we have the following weighted result for the oscillatory integral of Bochner–Riesz operators with critical order.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $B_\tau f$ be the same as in Theorem 1.1, and $\omega \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (the Muckenhoupt weight class). Then for $1 < p < \infty$*

$$\|B_\tau f\|_{p,\omega} \leq C \|f\|_{p,\omega},$$

where C is independent of the coefficients of $p(x, y)$ and f , but depends on the degree of $p(x, y)$.

Throughout this paper, C is a positive constant that is independent of the main parameters and not necessarily the same at each occurrence. For a measurable set E , denote by χ_E the characteristic function of E . For f defined on \mathbb{R}^n , \hat{f} denotes the Fourier transform of f .

2. Proof of the Theorems

First, we state several lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. [see 7, p. 169] *Let ϕ be the same as in (1.1). Then*

- (i) $\phi(x) = C \frac{J_{n-\frac{1}{2}}(|x|)}{|x|^{n-\frac{1}{2}}}$, $J_\nu(x)$ denotes the Bessel function;
- (ii) $|\phi(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-n}$;

$$(iii) \quad |\nabla\phi(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-n}.$$

Lemma 2.2. (see [4]) *Suppose $p(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq d} a_\alpha x^\alpha$ is a polynomial of degree d in \mathbb{R}^n , with $\epsilon < 1/d$. Then*

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|x| \leq 1} |p(x-y)|^{-\epsilon} d\sigma(x) \leq A_\epsilon \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=d} |a_\alpha| \right)^{-\epsilon}.$$

Now, let us turn to prove the theorems.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Write

$$p(x, y) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k, |\beta| \leq l} a_{\alpha, \beta} x^\alpha y^\beta.$$

By the dilation invariance, we may assume that $\sum_{|\alpha|=k, |\beta|=l} |a_{\alpha\beta}| = 1$.
Decompose

$$\begin{aligned} B_\tau f(x) &= \int_{|x-y| \leq \tau} e^{ip(x,y)} \phi_\tau(x-y) f(y) dy \\ &\quad + \int_{|x-y| > \tau} e^{ip(x,y)} \phi_\tau(x-y) f(y) dy \\ &= T_0 f(x) + T_\infty f(x). \end{aligned}$$

For T_0 , it is easy to see that

$$\left| \int_{|x-y| < \tau} e^{ip(x,y)} \phi_\tau(x-y) f(y) dy \right| \leq C\tau^{-n} \int_{|x-y| < \tau} |f(y)| dy \leq CMf(x),$$

where M denotes the standard Hardy–Littlewood function.

Then, we have

$$\|T_0 f\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p. \quad (2.1)$$

Now we return to consider T_∞ . We write

$$\begin{aligned} T_\infty f(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{j-1}\tau \leq |x-y| < 2^j\tau} e^{ip(x,y)} \phi_\tau(x-y) f(y) dy \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_j f(x). \end{aligned}$$

We next consider two cases for τ .

Case 1. $0 < \tau \leq 1$. We claim that there exists a positive number δ such that

$$\|T_j f\|_p \leq C2^{-j\delta} \|f\|_p \quad (2.2)$$

holds for $j \geq 1$. From (2.2), we obtain

$$\|T_\infty f\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j\delta} \right) \leq C \|f\|_p,$$

which together with (2.1) will prove the theorem in the case $0 < \tau \leq 1$. We now prove (2.2). To do this we consider $T_j^* T_j$. This operator has as its kernel $\bar{L}_j(y, z)$ given by

$$\int_{2^{j-1}\tau < |x-z|, |x-y| < 2^j\tau} e^{i(p(x,z)-p(x,y))} \phi_\tau(x-z) \phi_\tau(x-y) dx.$$

By rescaling we would obtain the same norm if we replace $\bar{L}_j(y, z)$ by $L_j(y, z)$ defined by

$$L_j(y, z) = 2^{jn} \bar{L}_j(2^j y, 2^j z).$$

Then

$$[L_j(y, z)] = \int_{\tau/2 < |x-z|, |x-y| < \tau} e^{i(p(2^j x, 2^j z) - p(2^j x, 2^j y))} \phi_{2^{-j}\tau}(x-z) \phi_{2^{-j}\tau}(x-y) dx. \quad (2.3)$$

Now we make the changes of variables $x \rightarrow x + y$ in (2.3), and then write x -integration in polar coordinates with $x = rx'$, $r = |x|$, $|x'| = 1$, $dx = r^{n-1} dr d\sigma(x)$. We now write $p(x, y)$ as follows

$$p(x, y) = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} x^\alpha Q_\alpha(y) + R(x, y),$$

where $R(x, y)$ is a polynomial with x -degree less than k , and $Q_\alpha(y)$ is a polynomial with degree l . So we can write

$$L_j(y, z) = \int_{|x'|=1} \int_{\frac{\tau}{2} < r < \tau, \frac{\tau}{2} < |rx' - z + y| < \tau} e^{i(E+F)} \Phi_{j,\tau}(r, x') dr d\sigma(x'),$$

where

$$E = (2^j r)^k \sum_{|\alpha|=k} x'^\alpha [Q_\alpha(2^j z) - Q_\alpha(2^j y)],$$

and F with r -degree less than k , and

$$\Phi_{j,\tau}(r, x') = \phi_{2^{-j}\tau}(rx' - z + y) \phi_{2^{-j}\tau}(r) r^{n-1}.$$

By Van der Corput's lemma (see [6, p. 332]), for any $\frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\frac{\tau}{2}}^{t\tau} e^{i(E+F)} dr \right| \leq C \left| (2^j \tau)^k \sum_{|\alpha|=k} x'^\alpha [Q_\alpha(2^j z) - Q_\alpha(2^j y)] \right|^{-\frac{1}{k}}.$$

From integration by parts, and using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\frac{\tau}{2} < r < \tau, \frac{\tau}{2} < |rx' - z + y| < \tau} e^{i(E+F)} \Phi_{j,\tau}(r, x') dr \right| \\
& \leq C \left| (2^j \tau)^k \sum_{|\alpha|=k} x'^{\alpha} [Q_{\alpha}(2^j z) - Q_{\alpha}(2^j y)] \right|^{-\frac{1}{k}} \\
& \quad \times \left(1 + \int_{\frac{\tau}{2} < r < \tau, \frac{\tau}{2} < |rx' - z + y| < \tau} |d\Phi_{j,\tau}(r, x')| \right) \\
& \leq C \tau^{-1} \left| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} x'^{\alpha} [Q_{\alpha}(2^j z) - Q_{\alpha}(2^j y)] \right|^{-\frac{1}{k}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Using Lemma 2.1, it is easy to see that

$$\left| \int_{\frac{\tau}{2} < r < \tau, \frac{\tau}{2} < |rx' - z + y| < \tau} e^{i(E+F)} \Phi_{j,\tau}(r, x') dr \right| \leq C \tau^n \chi_{B_{2\tau}}(y - z),$$

where $\chi_{B_{2\tau}}$ is the characteristic function of the ball radius 2τ centered at zero.

Since $\tau < 1$, from (2.4) and the above inequality, we get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\frac{\tau}{2} < r < \tau, \frac{\tau}{2} < |rx' - z + y| < \tau} e^{i(E+F)} \Phi_{j,\tau}(r, x') dr \right| \\
& \leq C \left| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} x'^{\alpha} [Q_{\alpha}(2^j z) - Q_{\alpha}(2^j y)] \right|^{-\frac{\delta_1}{k}} \chi_{B_{2\tau}}(y - z),
\end{aligned}$$

which holds uniformly in $\delta_1 \in (0, 1]$. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, we get

$$|L_j(y, z)| \leq C \left| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} [Q_{\alpha}(2^j z) - Q_{\alpha}(2^j y)] \right|^{-\frac{\delta_1}{k}} \chi_{B_{2\tau}}(y - z). \tag{2.5}$$

Now, we take $\delta_1 \in (0, 1]$ such that $\delta_1/k < 1/l$, then from Lemma 2.2, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\int |L_j(y, z)| dz & \leq C 2^{-\delta_1 j} \int_{|z-y| \leq 2} \left| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} [Q_{\alpha}(2^j z) - Q_{\alpha}(2^j y)] \right|^{-\frac{\delta_1}{k}} dz \\
& \leq C 2^{-lj\delta_1/k} = C 2^{-2j\epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |L_j(y, z)| dy \leq C 2^{-2j\epsilon}.$$

Similarly,

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |L_j(y, z)| dz \leq C2^{-2j\epsilon}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\|T_j f\|_2 \leq C2^{-j\epsilon} \|f\|_2. \quad (2.6)$$

Using (ii) of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |T_j f(x)| &\leq \int_{2^{j-1}\tau \leq |x-y| < 2^j\tau} |\phi_\tau(x-y)f(y)| dy \\ &\leq C \int_{2^{j-1}\tau \leq |x-y| < 2^j\tau} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^n} dy \\ &\leq CMf(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|T_j f\|_{p_0} \leq C_{p_0} \|f\|_{p_0}, \quad \text{for } 1 < p_0 < \infty. \quad (2.7)$$

By interpolation between (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain (2.2).

Case 2. $\tau \geq 1$. We claim that there exists a positive δ such that

$$\|T_j f\|_p \leq C(2^j\tau)^{-\delta} \|f\|_p \quad (2.8)$$

holds for $j \geq 1$.

The proof is parallel to the proof in case 1. We now prove (2.8). To do this we consider $T_j^* T_j$. This operator has as its kernel $\bar{L}_j(y, z)$ given by

$$\int_{2^{j-1}\tau < |x-z|, |x-y| < 2^j\tau} e^{i(p(x,z)-p(x,y))} \phi_\tau(x-z)\phi_\tau(x-y) dx.$$

By rescaling we would obtain the same norm if we replace $\bar{L}_j(y, z)$ by $L_j(y, z)$ defined by

$$L_j(y, z) = (2^j\tau)^n \bar{L}_j(2^j\tau y, 2^j\tau z).$$

Then

$$L_j(y, z) = \int_{1/2 < |x-z|, |x-y| < 1} e^{i(p(2^j\tau x, 2^j\tau z) - p(2^j\tau x, 2^j\tau y))} \phi_{2^{-j}}(x-z)\phi_{2^{-j}}(x-y) dx. \quad (2.9)$$

It is easy to see that

$$|L_j(y, z)| \leq C\chi_{B_2}(y-z), \quad (2.10)$$

where χ_{B_2} is the characteristic function of the ball radius 2 center at zero.

Now we make the changes of variables $x \rightarrow x+y$ in (2.9), and then write x -integration in polar coordinates with $x = rx'$, $r = |x|$, $|x'| = 1$, $dx = r^{n-1} dr d\sigma(x)$.

We now write $p(x, y)$ as follows

$$p(x, y) = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} x^\alpha Q_\alpha(y) + R(x, y),$$

where $R(x, y)$ is a polynomial with x -degree less than k , and $Q_\alpha(y)$ is a polynomial with degree l . So we can write

$$L_j(y, z) = \int_{|x'|=1} \int_{\frac{1}{2} < r < 1, \frac{1}{2} < |rx' - z + y| < 1} e^{i(E+F)} \Phi_j(r, x') dr d\sigma(x'),$$

where

$$E = (2^j \tau r)^k \sum_{|\alpha|=k} x'^\alpha [Q_\alpha(2^j \tau z) - Q_\alpha(2^j \tau y)],$$

and F with r -degree less than k , and

$$\Phi_j(r, x') = \phi_{2^{-j}}(rx' - z + y) \phi_{2^{-j}}(r) r^{n-1}.$$

By Van der Corput's lemma (see [6]), for any $1/2 \leq t \leq 1$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^t e^{i(E+F)} dr \right| \leq C \left| (2^j \tau)^k \sum_{|\alpha|=k} x'^\alpha [Q_\alpha(2^j \tau z) - Q_\alpha(2^j \tau y)] \right|^{-\frac{1}{k}}.$$

From integration by parts, and using Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\frac{1}{2} < r < 1, \frac{1}{2} < |rx' - z + y| < 1} e^{i(E+F)} \Phi_j(r, x') dr \right| \\ & \leq C \left| (2^j \tau)^k \sum_{|\alpha|=k} x'^\alpha [Q_\alpha(2^j \tau z) - Q_\alpha(2^j \tau y)] \right|^{-\frac{1}{k}} \\ & \quad \times \left(1 + \int_{\frac{1}{2} < r < 1, \frac{1}{2} < |rx' - z + y| < 1} |d\Phi_j(r, x')| \right) \\ & \leq C \left| (2^j \tau)^k \sum_{|\alpha|=k} x'^\alpha [Q_\alpha(2^j \tau z) - Q_\alpha(2^j \tau y)] \right|^{-\frac{1}{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.10) and the above inequality, we get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\frac{1}{2} < r < 1, \frac{1}{2} < |rx' - z + y| < 1} e^{i(E+F)} \Phi_j(r, x') dr \right| \\ & \leq C \left| (2^j \tau)^k \sum_{|\alpha|=k} x'^\alpha [Q_\alpha(2^j \tau z) - Q_\alpha(2^j \tau y)] \right|^{-\frac{\delta_1}{k}} \chi_{B_2}(y - z), \end{aligned}$$

which holds uniformly in $\delta_1 \in (0, 1]$. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, we get

$$|L_j(y, z)| \leq C(2^j \tau)^{-\delta_1} \left| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} [Q_\alpha(2^j \tau z) - Q_\alpha(2^j \tau y)] \right|^{-\frac{\delta_1}{k}} \chi_{B_2}(y - z).$$

Now, we take $\delta_1 \in (0, 1]$ such that $\delta_1/k < 1/l$, then from Lemma 2.2, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \int |L_j(y, z)| dz &\leq C(2^j \tau)^{-\delta_1} \int_{|z-y| \leq 2} \left| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} [Q_\alpha(2^j \tau z) - Q_\alpha(2^j \tau y)] \right|^{-\frac{\delta_1}{k}} dz \\ &\leq C(2^j \tau)^{-\delta_1} (2^j \tau)^{-l\delta_1/k} = C(2^j \tau)^{-2\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |L_j(y, z)| dy \leq C(2^j \tau)^{-2\epsilon}.$$

Similarly,

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |L_j(y, z)| dz \leq C(2^j \tau)^{-2\epsilon}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\|T_j f\|_2 \leq C(2^j \tau)^{-\epsilon} \|f\|_2.$$

Adapting the arguments in the proof of (2.7), there exists a positive number δ such that

$$\|T_j f\|_p \leq C(2^j \tau)^{-\delta} \|f\|_p$$

holds for $j \geq 1$. Thus, (2.8) is proved.

Since $\tau > 1$, from (2.8), we obtain

$$\|T_\infty f\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2^j \tau)^{-\delta} \right) \leq C \|f\|_p.$$

Thus, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete. ■

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. Adapting the idea of [2], similar to the proof Theorem 1.1, we can obtain the desired result. ■

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research was supported by the NNSF (10401002) of China.

The author would like to thank the referee for some valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Fefferman, The multiplier problem for the ball, *Annals of Mathematics* **94** (1972), 330–6.
- [2] Y. Jiang and S. Lu, Oscillatory singular integral with rough kernel, in M.D. Cheng, D.G. Deng, S. Gong and C. C. Yang (eds), *Harmonic analysis in China*, 135–45, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1995.
- [3] S. Lu and Y. Zhang, Criterion on L^p -boundedness for a class of oscillatory singular integral with rough kernels, *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana* **8** (1992), 201–19.
- [4] F. Ricci and E.M. Stein, Harmonic analysis on nilpotent groups and singular integrals I: oscillatory integral, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **73** (1987), 179–94.
- [5] X. Shi and Q. Sun, Weighted norm inequalities for Bochner–Riesz operators and singular integral operators, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **116** (1992), 249–58.
- [6] E.M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1993.
- [7] E.M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971.